arXiv:1411.1197v2 [math.AG] 28 Oct 2016

THE SYMPLECTIC NATURE OF THE SPACE OF DORMANT INDIGENOUS BUNDLES ON ALGEBRAIC CURVES

YASUHIRO WAKABAYASHI

Abstract. We study the symplectic nature of the moduli stack classifying dormant curves over a field K of positive characteristic, i.e., proper hyperbolic curves over K equipped with a dormant indigenous bundle. The central objects of the present paper are the following two Deligne-Mumford stacks. One is the cotangent bundle ${}^{\circledcirc}T^{\vee^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}}_{g,K}$ of the moduli stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}_{g,K}$ classifying ordinary dormant curves over K of genus g. The other is the moduli stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}_{g,K}$ classifying ordinary dormant curves over K equipped with an indigenous bundle. These Deligne-Mumford stacks admit canonical symplectic structures respectively. The main result of the present paper asserts that a canonical isomorphism ${}^{\circledcirc}T^{\vee^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}}_{g,K} \to {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}_{g,K}$ preserves the symplectic structure. This result may be thought of as a positive characteristic analogue of the works of S. Kawai (in the paper entitled "*The symplectic nature of the space of projective connections on Riemann surfaces*"), P. Arés-Gastesi, I. Biswas, and B. Loustau. Finally, as its application, we construct a Frobenius-constant quantization on the moduli stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}_{g,K}$.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Preliminaries 5
2. Indigenous Bundles 11
3. Dormant Indigenous Bundles 22
4. Preservation of Symplectic Structure 25
5. Proof of Theorem A 32
6. Application of Theorem A 38
References 40

Y. Wakabayashi: Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan;
e-mail: wkbysh@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp;
2010 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: Primary 14H10, Secondary 14H60;
Key words and phrases: p-adic Teichmüller theory, p-curvature, indigenous bundles, dormant indigenous bundles, deformation quantization.

Introduction

0.1. In the present paper, we study symplectic geometry of indigenous bundles (cf. Definition 2.1.1 (i)) in positive characteristic. First, we shall describe the main result of the present paper. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$ and g an integer > 1. Denote by

$$^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}} \ (\text{resp., } {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}}) \tag{1}$$

(cf. (101) and (134)) the moduli stack classifying *ordinary dormant curves* (cf. Definition 3.1.1 (ii)) of genus g over K (resp., *ordinary dormant curves* of genus g over K equipped with an indigenous bundle). Also, denote by

$$^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz...}} \tag{2}$$

(cf. (134)) the cotangent bundle of $^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}}$. It is known (cf. Propositions 2.7.1 and 3.3.1) that $^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}}$ (resp., $^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz...}}$) may be represented by a geometrically connected smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over K of dimension $6g-6$. As we will discuss in § 4.4, there exists a canonical symplectic structure on $^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}}$ (resp., $^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz...}}$), for which we shall write

$$\omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}} \quad (\text{resp., } \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{can}}) \,. \tag{3}$$

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

Theorem A (cf. Theorem 4.4.1)**.**
The canonical isomorphism

$$\Psi_{g,K} : {}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz...}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}} \tag{4}$$

(cf. (135)) preserves the symplectic structure, i.e.,

$$\Psi_{g,K}^{*}(\omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{can}}. \tag{5}$$

0.2. Here, recall that the notion of an indigenous bundle was introduced and studied by R. C. Gunning in the context of Riemann surfaces (cf. [17]). Roughly speaking, an indigenous bundle on a connected compact hyperbolic Riemann surface X is a projective line bundle (or equivalently, a PGL_2-torsor) on X together with a connection and a global section satisfying a certain transversality condition. It may be thought of as an *algebraic* object that encodes the (*analytic*, i.e., *non-algebraic*) uniformization data for the X. Various equivalent mathematical objects, including certain kinds of differential operators between line bundles (related to Schwarzian equations), have been studied by many mathematicians. For example, indigenous bundles on X correspond bijectively to certain *projective structures* on the underlying topological space Σ of X. A projective structure on Σ is, by definition, the equivalence class of

an atlas covered by coordinate charts on Σ such that the transition functions are expressed as Möbius transformations. (In particular, each projective structure determines a unique Riemann surface structure on Σ.) If we are given a projective structure, then the collection of its transition functions specifies a PGL_2-torsor equipped with a connection, which forms an indigenous bundle. In this way, we obtain a bijective correspondence between the set of projective structures on Σ defining the Riemann surface X and the set of (isomorphism classes of) indigenous bundles on X.

0.3. In the following, we shall recall the works of S. Kawai, P. Arés-Gastesi, I. Biswas, and B. Loustau (cf. [22]; [1]; [2]; [24]) related to natural symplectic structures on certain moduli spaces of projective structures, or equivalently, indigenous bundles.

Let Σ be, as above, a connected orientable closed surface of genus $g > 1$. Write $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$ for the Teichmüller space associated with Σ, i.e., the quotient space

$$\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma} := \mathrm{Conf}(\Sigma)/\mathrm{Diff}^0(\Sigma), \tag{6}$$

where $\mathrm{Conf}(\Sigma)$ denotes the space of all conformal structures on Σ compatible with the orientation of Σ, and $\mathrm{Diff}^0(\Sigma)$ denotes the group of all diffeomorphisms of Σ homotopic to the identity map of Σ. In particular, it is a covering space of the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathrm{an}}_{g,\mathbb{C}}$ classifying connected compact Riemann surfaces of genus g. Also, write

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} := \mathrm{Proj}(\Sigma)/\mathrm{Diff}^0(\Sigma), \tag{7}$$

where $\mathrm{Proj}(\Sigma)$ denotes the space of all projective structures on Σ. It is known that the cotangent bundle $T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ of $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$ (resp., the quotient space $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$) admits a structure of complex manifold of dimension $6g-6$, equipped with a holomorphic symplectic structure $\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ (resp., $\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ (cf. [14])). Consider a C^{∞} section

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{unif}} : \mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma} \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \tag{8}$$

of the natural projection $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \to \mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$ arising from the uniformization constructed by either Bers, Schottky, or Earle (cf. [4]; [8]; [12]). (Note that the Bers uniformization are determined after choosing a specific point of $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$.) Because of a natural affine structure on $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$, the section σ_{unif} may be extended to a diffeomorphism

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{unif}} : T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \tag{9}$$

whose restriction to the zero section $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma} \to T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ coincides with σ_{unif}. It follows from [22], Theorem, [1], Theorem 1.1, [1], Remark 3.2, and [24], Theorem 6.10, that Ψ_{unif} preserves the symplectic structure up to a constant factor, i.e.,

$$\Psi^{*}_{\mathrm{unif}}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) = \sqrt{-1} \cdot \omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}. \tag{10}$$

Notice that we use the conventions concerning $\omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ chosen in [24]. With these conventions, Kawai's result may be described as the equality (10), as B. Loustau mentioned in the fourth footnote in *loc. cit.*. (In Kawai's original paper, he asserted the equality $\Psi_{\mathrm{unif}}^*(\omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \pi \cdot \omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{can}}$.)

0.4. Our aim in the present paper is to address the question *whether a similar result holds for hyperbolic (algebraic) curves of positive characteristic*. Just as in the case of the theory over $\mathbb{C}$, one may define the notion of an indigenous bundle in characteristic $p > 0$ and their moduli space. Various properties of such objects were first discussed in the context of the p-adic Teichmüller theory developed by S. Mochizuki (cf. [26]; [27]). One of the key ingredients in the development of this theory is the study of the p-curvature of indigenous bundles. Recall that the p-curvature of a connection may be thought of as the obstruction to the compatibility of p-power structures that appear in certain associated spaces of infinitesimal (i.e., "Lie") symmetries. We say that an indigenous bundle is *dormant* (cf. Definition 3.1.1 (i)) if its p-curvature vanishes identically. This condition implies that the underlying projective line bundle with connection is locally trivial in the Zariski topology.

In many aspects (including the aspect just explained), dormant indigenous bundles may be thought of as reasonable (algebraic) products used to develop an analogous theory of indigenous bundles on Riemann surfaces. As we explained in § 0.3, each connected compact hyperbolic Riemann surface X (with marking) of genus $g > 1$ admits a canonical indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}_X^{\circledast}$ determined by the section σ_{unif}. Thus, the Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma$ may be identified with the moduli space classifying such X's equipped with a certain nice indigenous bundle (i.e., $\mathcal{P}_X^{\circledast}$). By taking account of this identification, one may consider the moduli stack classifying proper hyperbolic curves of characteristic p equipped with a nice (in a certain sense) indigenous bundle as a characteristic p analogue of such a covering space (i.e., $\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma$) of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$. From this point of view, we deal, as our primary geometric objects, with pairs $(X, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$ consisting of a proper hyperbolic curve and a dormant indigenous bundle on it; a(n) *(ordinary) dormant curves* mentioned in § 0.1 is defined to be such a pair $(X, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$ (satisfying a certain condition). That is to say, Theorem A described before may be thought of as an affirmative answer of the question asked at the beginning of this subsection.

Finally, as an application of Theorem A, we construct, on the moduli stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$, additional structures peculiar to positive characteristic (e.g., a Frobenius-constant quantization) which appeared in [5] or [6] (cf. Corollary 6.2.1).

Acknowledgement
I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professors Shinichi Mochizuki, Yuichiro Hoshi (and hyperbolic curves of positive characteristic!) for their

helpful suggestions and heartfelt encouragements. But I alone, of course, am responsible for any errors and misconceptions in the present paper.

Part of this work was written during my stay at Hausdorff Center for Mathematics in Bonn, Germany. The hospitality and the very warm atmosphere of this institution is gratefully acknowledged.

I was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI No. 24-5691) and the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows.

Finally, I would like to thank the referee for reading carefully my manuscript and giving me some helpful comments and suggestions.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Throughout the present paper, we fix an integer $g > 1$ and a commutative ring R over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. (The assumption that 2 is invertible in the ground ring R will be necessary to construct the symplectic structure $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ introduced later (cf. (108)), as well as apply, to our discussion, the preceding results in the theory of indigenous bundles in positive characteristic (cf. [27]).) We shall write $\mathfrak{Set}$ for the category of (small) sets.

1.2. Let S be a Deligne-Mumford stack over R (cf. [23] for the definition and basic properties of Deligne-Mumford stacks). Unless stated otherwise, we intend that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S$ of S and all $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules are sheaves in the étale topology. If $\nabla : \mathcal{K}^0 \to \mathcal{K}^1$ is a morphism of sheaves (in the ètale topology) of abelian groups on S, then it may be thought of as a complex concentrated at degree 0 and 1. We denote this complex by

$$\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla] \tag{11}$$

(where $\mathcal{K}^0[\nabla] := \mathcal{K}^i$ for $i = 0, 1$). Also, any abelian sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ may be thought of as a complex concentrated at degree 0. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the complex

$$\mathcal{F}[n] \tag{12}$$

to be $\mathcal{F}$ shifted down by n, so that $\mathcal{F}[n]^{-n} = \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}[n]^i = 0$ $(i \neq -n)$.

If, moreover, X is a Deligne-Mumford stack over S, then we shall write $\Omega_{X/S}$ for the sheaf of 1-forms of X over S, $\bigwedge^i \Omega_{X/S}$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ for its i-th exterior power, and $\mathcal{T}_{X/S}$ for the dual $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\Omega_{X/S}^\vee$ of $\Omega_{X/S}$ (i.e., the sheaf of derivations of X over S).

1.3. Let X be a complex analytic space (resp., a complex analytic space over a complex analytic space S). We shall write $\mathcal{O}_X$ for the structure sheaf of X consisting of holomorphic functions, Ω_X (resp., $\Omega_{X/S}$) for the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms of X (resp., the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms of X over S), and $\mathcal{T}_X$ (resp., $\mathcal{T}_{X/S}$) for the dual $\mathcal{O}_X$-module of Ω_X (resp., $\Omega_{X/S}$).

Let X be a scheme (resp., a Deligne-Mumford stack) of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, we shall write X^{an} for the complex analytic space (resp., the complex analytic stack, i.e., the stack in groupoids over the category of complex analytic spaces equipped with the analytic topology) associated with X.

1.4. Let X be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension $n > 0$ (resp., a smooth Deligne-Mumford complex analytic stack (cf. [3], Definition 3.3) of dimension $n > 0$). A **symplectic structure** on X is a nondegenerate closed 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{X/R})$ (resp., $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_X)$). Here, we shall say that a 2-form ω is **nondegenerate** if the morphism $\Omega_{X/R} \to \mathcal{T}_{X/R}$ (resp., $\Omega_X \to \mathcal{T}_X$) induced naturally by ω is an isomorphism.

For each $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathcal{F}$, we shall write

$$\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{13}$$

for the total space of $\mathcal{F}$. (In the non-resp'd case, $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{F})$ is, by definition, the relative affine scheme $\mathcal{S}pec(\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{F}^\vee))$ over X, where $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ denotes the symmetric algebra on the dual $\mathcal{F}^\vee$ of $\mathcal{F}$ over $\mathcal{O}_X$.) Denote by $T^\vee_X$ the total space of $\Omega_{X/R}$ (resp., Ω_X), i.e.,

$$T^\vee_X := \mathbb{A}(\Omega_{X/R}) \quad (\text{resp., } T^\vee_X := \mathbb{A}(\Omega_X))\,, \tag{14}$$

which is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension $2n$ (resp., a smooth Deligne-Mumford complex analytic stack of dimension $2n$); we shall refer to $T^\vee_X$ as the **cotangent bundle** of X. Denote by

$$\pi^{T^\vee}_X : T^\vee_X \to X, \quad 0_X : X \to T^\vee_X \tag{15}$$

the natural projection and the zero section respectively. It is well-known that there exists a unique 1-form

$$\lambda_X \in \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \Omega_{T^\vee_X/R}) \quad (\text{resp., } \lambda_X \in \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \Omega_{T^\vee_X})) \tag{16}$$

on $T^\vee_X$ satisfying the following condition: if λ_σ is the 1-form on X corresponding to a section $\sigma : X \to T^\vee_X$ of $\pi^{T^\vee}_X$, then the equality $\sigma^*(\lambda_X) = \lambda_\sigma$ holds. We shall refer to λ_X as the **Liouville form** on $T^\vee_X$. By construction, the Liouville form λ_X lies in $\Gamma(T^\vee_X, \pi^{T^\vee *}_X(\Omega_{X/R})) \subseteq \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \Omega_{T^\vee_X/R})$ (resp., $\Gamma(T^\vee_X, \pi^{T^\vee *}_X(\Omega_X)) \subseteq \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \Omega_{T^\vee_X})$). Its exterior derivative

$$\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_X := d\lambda_X \in \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \textstyle\bigwedge^2 \Omega_{T^\vee_X/R}) \text{ (resp., } \omega^{\mathrm{can}}_X := d\lambda_X \in \Gamma(T^\vee_X, \textstyle\bigwedge^2 \Omega_{T^\vee_X})) \tag{17}$$

defines a symplectic structure on $T_X^\vee$. If $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ are local coordinates in X, then the dual coordinates $p_1, \cdots p_n$ in $T_X^\vee$ are the coefficients of the decomposition of the 1-form λ_X into linear combination of the differentials dq_i, i.e., $\lambda_X = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dq_i$. Hence, ω_X^{can} may be expressed locally as $\omega_X^{\mathrm{can}} = \sum_{i=1}^n dp_i \wedge dq_i$.

1.5. Let S be a Deligne-Mumford stack over R. By a **curve** over S, we mean a geometrically connected smooth relative scheme $f : X \to S$ over S of relative dimension 1. (Here, we shall say that a morphism $f : X \to S$ between Deligne-Mumford stacks is a *relative scheme* if it is a schematic morphism.) We shall say that a proper curve $f : X \to S$ over S is **of genus** g if the direct image $f_*(\Omega_{X/S})$ of $\Omega_{X/S}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_S$-module of constant rank g. Write

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,R} \tag{18}$$

for the moduli stack classifying proper curves of genus g over R, which is a geometrically connected smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension $3g - 3$. Also, write

$$f_{g,R} : C_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R} \tag{19}$$

for the tautological curve over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ and

$$\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}} \tag{20}$$

for the category of relative schemes over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$.

It follows from Serre duality that for any proper curve $f : X \to S$, the $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $\mathbb{R}^1 f_*(\Omega_{X/S})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S$. Throughout the present paper, we fix a specific choice of an isomorphism

$$\int_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]*}(\Omega_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}} \tag{21}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$-modules (i.e., the *trace map*). (Notice that the trace map $\int_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$ is only determined up to composition with an automorphism of the codomain $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$. A specific choice of $\int_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$ will be used to construct both the symplectic structure $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ (cf. (108)) and the morphism $\Psi_{g,K}$ (cf. (135)). If the specific choice of $\int_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$ for constructing $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ is different from that for constructing $\Psi_{g,K}$, then Theorem A may not hold. Thus, we will need to fix a coherent choice of it.)

If $u : T \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ is an object of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$, then we shall write

$$f_T : C_T \to T \tag{22}$$

for the curve over T classified by u (i.e., $C_T := C_{g,R} \times_{f_{g,R},\mathfrak{M}_{g,R},u} T$). Also, we shall write

$$\int_{C_T} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\Omega_{C_T/T}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_T \tag{23}$$

for the pull-back of the isomorphism $\int_{C_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}$ via the composite $T \xrightarrow{u} \mathfrak{M}_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$. For each vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on C_T, denote by

$$\int_{C_T,\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\Omega_{C_T/T} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{T*}(\mathcal{E})^\vee \tag{24}$$

the isomorphism induced from the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\Omega_{C_T/T} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee) \otimes f_{T*}(\mathcal{E}) &\xrightarrow{\cup} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\Omega_{C_T/T} \otimes (\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{Tr})} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{T*}(\Omega_{C_T/T}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\int_{C_T}} \mathcal{O}_T. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

1.6. Let S be a relative scheme over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ (i.e., an object of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$), which classifies a proper curve $f_S : C_S \to S$ over S. Let G be a connected smooth algebraic group over R with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to C_S$ a (right) G-torsor over C_S. Write

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) \ \left(:= \mathcal{E} \times^{G,\mathrm{Ad}} \mathfrak{g}\right) \tag{26}$$

for the adjoint vector bundle associated with $\mathcal{E}$ (where Ad denotes the adjoint representation $G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$) and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} \ \left(:= (\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/S}))^G\right) \tag{27}$$

for the subsheaf of G-invariant sections of $\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/S})$. Then, differentiating π gives a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} \xrightarrow{\alpha_\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to 0. \tag{28}$$

An **S-connection** on $\mathcal{E}$ is, by definition, a split injection $\nabla : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ of the short exact sequence (28) (i.e., $\alpha_\mathcal{E} \circ \nabla = \mathrm{id}$). Since C_S is of relative dimension 1 over S, any such S-connection is necessarily *integrable*, i.e., compatible with the respective Lie bracket structures on $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} = (\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/S}))^G$.

Assume that $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$ for some $m \geq 1$. Then, the notion of an S-connection on a GL_m-torsor $\mathcal{E}$ defined here may be identified with the usual definition of an S-connection (cf. [21], § 1.0) on the associated vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \times^{\mathrm{GL}_m} (\mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus m})$ (cf. Remark 1.7.1 below). In this situation, we shall not distinguish between these notions of connections.

For an S-connection ∇ on $\mathcal{E}$, we shall denote by

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{29}$$

the S-connection on the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ induced by ∇ via the change of structure group $\mathrm{Ad} : G \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. More explicitly, ∇_{ad} is uniquely determined by the condition that $\langle \partial, \nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}(s) \rangle = [\nabla(\partial), s]$ for any local sections $\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ and $s \in \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times (\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})) \to \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ arising from the natural pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$.

1.7. Recall (cf. [7], § 1.2) that the sheaf of *crystalline differential operators* on C_S over S is the Zariski sheaf

$$\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \tag{30}$$

on C_S generated, as a sheaf of noncommutative rings, by $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ subject to the following relations:

- $f_1 * f_2 = f_1 \cdot f_2$;
- $f_1 * \xi_1 = f_1 \cdot \xi_1$;
- $\xi_1 * \xi_2 - \xi_2 * \xi_1 = [\xi_1, \xi_2]$;
- $\xi_1 * f_1 - f_1 * \xi_1 = \xi_1(f_1)$

for local sections f_1, $f_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ and ξ_1, $\xi_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$, where $*$ denotes the multiplication in $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$. In a usual sense, the *order* (≥ 0) of a given crystalline differential operator (i.e., a local section of $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$) is well-defined. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ admits, for each $j \geq 0$, the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} \ \left(\subseteq \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}\right) \tag{31}$$

consisting of local sections of $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ of order $\leq j$. $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., $\mathcal{D}^{<j}_{C_S/S}$ for each $j = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$) admits two different structures of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module — one as given by left multiplication, where we denote this $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module by ${}^{l}\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., ${}^{l}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$), and the other given by right multiplication, where we denote this $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module by ${}^{r}\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., ${}^{r}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$) —. In particular, we have ${}^{l}\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{C_S/S} = {}^{r}\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{C_S/S} = \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. The set $\{\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}\}_{j \geq 0}$ forms an increasing filtration on $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ satisfying that

$$\bigcup_{j \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} = \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}, \text{ and } \mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} / \mathcal{D}^{\leq (j-1)}_{C_S/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\otimes j}_{C_S/S} \tag{32}$$

for any $j \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a vector bundle on C_S, and consider the tensor product $\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ (resp., $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$) of $\mathcal{F}$ and the $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module ${}^{r}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., ${}^{l}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$). In the following, we shall regard the $\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ (resp., $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$) as being equipped with a structure of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module arising from the structure of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module ${}^{l}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., ${}^{r}\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$) on $\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S}$.

Next, let ∇ be an S-connection on $\mathcal{F}$. One may associate ∇ with a structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$-module

$$\widehat{\nabla} : \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \tag{33}$$

(which is $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-linear), on $\mathcal{F}$ determined uniquely by the condition that $\widehat{\nabla}(\partial \otimes v) = \langle \partial, \nabla(v) \rangle$ for any local sections $v \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$, where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times (\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}$ induced by the natural paring $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. This assignment $\nabla \mapsto \widehat{\nabla}$ determines a bijective correspondence between the set of S-connections $\mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ and the set of structures of left $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$-module $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 1.7.1.
As we mentioned in § 1.6, there exists a bijective correspondence between the set of S-connections a GL_m-torsor $\mathcal{E}$ and the set of S-connections (in the classical sense) on the vector bundle $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{E} \times^{\mathrm{GL}_m} (\mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus m})$. In this remark, we shall construct this correspondence.

Let us write

$$\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S}), \tag{34}$$

i.e., the sheaf of first order differential operators from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{F}$. The surjection $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ (cf. (32)) induces a surjection $q : \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$. By the injection $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ given by assigning $\partial \mapsto \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \partial$ (for any local section $\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$), we identify $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ with an $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-submodule of $\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$. Let us write

$$\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1\blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} := q^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \ \left(\subseteq \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}}\right), \tag{35}$$

which admits a surjection

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{V}} \ (:= q|_{\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1\blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}}}) : \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1\blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}. \tag{36}$$

Recall (cf. [9], § 2, (2.2)) that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\xi : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1\blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} \tag{37}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-modules which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} & \xrightarrow{\quad\xi\quad} & \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1\blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}} \\ & {\scriptstyle \alpha_{\mathcal{E}}} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \alpha_{\mathcal{V}}} & \\ & \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} & \end{array} \tag{38}$$

commute.

Now, suppose that we are given an S-connection $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ on the GL_m-torsor $\mathcal{E}$. Then, one may find an S-connection $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying the equality $(\xi \circ \nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}})(\partial)(v) - v \otimes \partial = \langle \partial, \nabla^1_{\mathcal{F}}(v) \rangle$ for any local sections

$\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times (\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{F}$ arising from the natural pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. On the other hand, let $\nabla^2_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be an S-connection on $\mathcal{F}$ and ∂ a local section of $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$. Then, the assignment $v \mapsto \langle \partial, \nabla^2_{\mathcal{F}}(v) \rangle + v \otimes \partial$ (where $v \in \mathcal{F}$) determines a local section δ_∂ of $\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 1 \blacklozenge}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}}$. The morphism $\nabla^2_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ given by assigning $\partial \mapsto \xi^{-1}(\delta_\partial)$ forms an S-connection on $\mathcal{E}$. One verifies immediately that the assignments $\nabla^1_{\mathcal{E}} \mapsto \nabla^1_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\nabla^2_{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto \nabla^2_{\mathcal{E}}$ determines a bijective correspondence between the set of S-connections on $\mathcal{E}$ and the set of S-connections on $\mathcal{F}$, as desired.

2. Indigenous Bundles

In this section, we recall the notion of an indigenous bundle on a curve and some properties related to indigenous bundles. We refer to [26], [27], [31], [32] for detailed discussions of indigenous bundles (on a family of curves).

2.1. Let us fix a relative scheme over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ (i.e., an object of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$), which classifies a proper curve $f_S : C_S \to S$ of genus g. Let us take G to be the projective linear group over R of rank 2, i.e., $G := \mathrm{PGL}_2$ (hence, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$). Write B for the Borel subgroup of G defined to be the image (via the quotient $\mathrm{GL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow G$) of upper triangle matrices. We recall from [13], §4, or [26], Chap. I, § 2, Definition 2.2, the following definition of an indigenous bundle:

Definition 2.1.1.

(i) Let $\mathcal{P}^\circledast := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ be a pair consisting of a (right) B-torsor $\mathcal{P}_B$ over C_S and an S-connection ∇ on the G-torsor $\mathcal{P}_G := \mathcal{P}_B \times^B G$ induced by $\mathcal{P}_B$. We shall say that $\mathcal{P}^\circledast$ is an **indigenous bundle** on C_S/S if the composite

$$\overline{\nabla} : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \overset{\nabla}{\to} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S} \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S}/\widetilde{\iota}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S}) \tag{39}$$

is an isomorphism, where $\widetilde{\iota}$ denotes the natural injection $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S}$.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{P}^\circledast := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\circledast := (\mathcal{Q}_B, \nabla_{\mathcal{Q}})$ be indigenous bundles on C_S/S. An **isomorphism of indigenous bundles** from $\mathcal{P}^\circledast$ to $\mathcal{Q}^\circledast$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_B \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{Q}_B$ of B-torsors such that the induced isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_G \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{Q}_G$ of G-torsors is compatible with the respective S-connections.

Then, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.1.2 (cf. [26], Chap. I, § 2, Theorem 2.8)**.**
Any indigenous bundle on C_S/S does not have nontrivial automorphisms.

2.2. In the following, we shall construct a canonical filtration on the adjoint vector bundle associated with the underlying G-torsor of an indigenous bundle. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ be an indigenous bundle on C_S/S. Consider the morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_B) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & {\scriptstyle \iota}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \widetilde{\iota}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{40}$$

arising from the change of structure group $B \hookrightarrow G$. This diagram yields a natural isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S}/\widetilde{\iota}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\iota(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_B)). \tag{41}$$

Let us define a 3-step decreasing filtration $\{\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^i\}_{i=0}^3$ on the rank 3 vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^0 := \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G), \\ &\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 := \iota(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_B)), \\ &\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2 := \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \overset{\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}|_{\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1}}{\to} \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \\ &\qquad\qquad \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1\big), \\ &\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^3 := 0 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

(cf. (29) for the definition of ∇_{ad}). It follows from the definition of an indigenous bundle that

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^{j+1}) \subseteq \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^j, \tag{43}$$

for any $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and the $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-*linear* morphism

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}^{j+1} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^{j+1}/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^{j+2} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes (\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^j/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^{j+1}) \tag{44}$$

induced by ∇_{ad} is an isomorphism. Denote by

$$\overline{\nabla}^{\flat} : \big(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 =\big)\ \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\iota(\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \tag{45}$$

the inverse of the composite of (41) and $\overline{\nabla} : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S}/\widetilde{\iota}(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S})$ (cf. (39)). Also, denote by $\overline{\nabla}^{\sharp}$ the composite isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}(46)\qquad \overline{\nabla}^{\sharp} : \Omega_{C_S/S} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{C_S/S}\\ &\overset{\mathrm{id}_{\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}} \otimes (\overline{\nabla}^{\flat})^{-1}}{\to} \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes (\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1)\\ &\overset{\mathrm{id}_{\Omega_{C_S/S}} \otimes (\overline{\nabla}^1_{\mathrm{ad}})^{-1}}{\to} \Omega_{C_S/K} \otimes (\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2)\\ &\overset{(\overline{\nabla}^2_{\mathrm{ad}})^{-1}}{\to} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where the first arrow denotes the automorphism of $\Omega_{C_S/S}$ given by multiplication by 2. (Recall that 2 is invertible in R. The first isomorphism in the composite should be added in order that Lemma 5.5.1 described later holds.) The composite

$$\begin{aligned}(47)\qquad \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} &\overset{\mathrm{id}_{\Omega_{C_S/S}} \otimes \overline{\nabla}^{\sharp}}{\to} \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2 \hookrightarrow \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)\\ &\left(\text{resp., } \ \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \overset{\overline{\nabla}^{\flat}}{\to} \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}\right)\end{aligned}$$

determines a morphism of complexes

$$(48)\qquad \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}[-1] \to \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}] \ \left(\text{resp., } \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}] \to \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}[0]\right).$$

By applying the functor $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(-)$ to this morphism, we obtain a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}(49)\qquad &\gamma^{\sharp}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])\\ &\left(\text{resp., } \gamma^{\flat}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S})\right)\end{aligned}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules. It follows from [26], Chap. I, § 2, Theorem 2.8 (1), that the sequence

$$(50)\qquad 0 \to f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}) \overset{\gamma^{\sharp}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}}{\to} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \overset{\gamma^{\flat}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}}{\to} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \to 0$$

is exact. This sequence is also obtained by taking cohomology of the differentials in the E_1-term of the spectral sequence

$$(51)\qquad E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{R}^q f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^p[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q} f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]).$$

2.3. Next, let us introduce the notion of an indigenous bundle *of canonical type*. Write $\pi^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} : \mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \to C_S$ for the (right) GL_2-torsor over C_S associated with the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S}$. More precisely, $\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ is the C_S-scheme representing the functor

$$(52)\qquad \mathcal{I}som_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}_{C_S}, \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S}) : \mathfrak{Et}_{C_S} \to \mathfrak{Set},$$

classifying locally defined isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{C_S}^{\leq 1}$, where $\mathfrak{Et}_{C_S}$ denotes the small étale site on C_S. Also, write

$$\pi_G^\dagger : \mathcal{P}_G^\dagger \to C_S \tag{53}$$

for the G-torsor induced by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}^\dagger$ via the change of structure group $\mathrm{GL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow G$. Let us consider $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-submodule of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus 2}$ via the injection $\mathcal{O}_{C_S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus 2}$ into the first factor. The subfunctor of $\mathcal{I}som_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{D}_{C_S}^{\leq 1})$ consisting of locally defined isomorphisms $w : \mathcal{O}_{C_S}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{C_S}^{\leq 1}$ satisyfing that $w(\mathcal{O}_{C_S}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}^{\leq 0}$ may be represented by a B-reduction

$$\pi_B^\dagger : \mathcal{P}_B^\dagger \to C_S \tag{54}$$

of $\mathcal{P}_G^\dagger$. Note that the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G^\dagger)$ is canonically isomorphic to the sheaf $\mathcal{E}nd^0_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}^{\leq 1})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}^{\leq 1}$ with vanishing trace.

Let us take a scheme U equipped with an étale morphism $U \to C_S$ and a section $x \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})$ such that dx generates $\Omega_{U/S}$ $(= \Omega_{C_S/S}|_U)$. We shall refer to such a pair (U, x) as a **local chart** of C_S relative to S. The decomposition $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}^{\leq 1}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_U \oplus \mathcal{O}_U \cdot \partial_x$ by means of (U, x) (where $\partial_x \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S})$ denotes the dual base of dx) gives an isomorphism

$$\tau_{(U,x)} : \mathcal{P}_G^\dagger|_U \ (= U \times_{C_S} \mathcal{P}_G^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times_R G \tag{55}$$

of G-torsors which induces an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{(U,x)} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G^\dagger/S}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{U/S} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_R \mathfrak{g}). \tag{56}$$

Definition 2.3.1.
We shall say that an indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^\circledast$ on C_S/S is **of canonical type** if it is of the form $\mathcal{P}^\circledast = (\mathcal{P}_B^\dagger, \nabla)$, where ∇ is an S-connection on $\mathcal{P}_G^\dagger$ satisfying the following condition: for any local chart (U, x) of C_S relative to S, the restriction $\nabla|_U$ of ∇ to U may be expressed, via (56), as the map $\mathcal{T}_{U/S} \to \mathcal{T}_{U/S} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_U \otimes_R \mathfrak{g})$ determined by $1 \cdot \partial_x \mapsto \left(1 \cdot \partial_x, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ for some $a \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})$.

2.4. In §§ 2.4-2.5, we consider indigenous bundles arising from certain differential operators between line bundles. Recall that a *theta characteristic* (in other words, *spin structure*) of C_S/S is, by definition, a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on C_S together with an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{C_S/S}$. The curve C_S/S necessarily admits, at least étale locally on S, a theta characteristic (cf. [31], Remark 2.2.1 (i)).

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a theta characteristic of C_S/S. We shall write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}} &:= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S}) \\ &\quad \left(\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S})\right), \\ {}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}} &:= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \\ &\quad \left(\cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-3)}, \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S}$ ($\cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S}$) and $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ may be considered as being equipped with the structures of $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-module defined in § 1.7. A second order differential operator from $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$ to $\mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}$ is, by definition, a global section of $\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}}$. By passing to the composite injection

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_S}) \\ &\quad \left(= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{L}^{\vee}, \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{C_S/S})\right) \\ &\quad \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}}, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

we identify $\Gamma(C_S, \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$ with a submodule of $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}})$.

Next, let us define

$$\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}} \tag{59}$$

to be the subsheaf of $\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}}$ consisting of differential operators such that the principal symbol is 1 and the subprincipal symbol is 0. That is to say, a second order differential operator $\mathbb{D}$ from $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$ to $\mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}$ defines a global section of $\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}}$ if and only if whenever we choose a local chart (U, x) of C_S and a trivialization $\mathcal{L}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_U \cdot (dx)^{\otimes \frac{1}{2}}$, $\mathbb{D}$ may be locally given by assigning

$$(dx)^{\otimes(-\frac{1}{2})} \mapsto (dx)^{\otimes(-\frac{1}{2})} \otimes (dx)^{\otimes 2} \otimes \partial_x^2 + (dx)^{\otimes(-\frac{1}{2})} \otimes a \otimes 1 \tag{60}$$

for some $a \in \Gamma(U, \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$. For each $\mathbb{D} \in \Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $A \in \Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}})$, the sum $\mathbb{D} + A$ lies in $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}})$ if and only if $A \in \Gamma(C_S, \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$ ($\subseteq \Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}})$). Thus, $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}})$ *admits a canonical structure of* $\Gamma(C_S, \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$-*torsor.*

2.5. Now, let $\mathbb{D}$ be an element of $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2 \bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}})$. Denote by ${}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ the morphism defined as the image of $\mathbb{D}$ via the composite

$$\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2}_{\mathcal{L}} \tag{61}$$

of two natural isomorphisms arising from the definitions of $\mathcal{D}iff_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq 2}$ and ${}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathcal{D}iff_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq 2}$. Since $\mathbb{D} \in \Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq 2\bigstar})$, the composite

$$\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L})/\langle \mathrm{Im}({}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathbb{D})\rangle \tag{62}$$

is an isomorphism, where $(\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}\otimes\mathcal{L})/\langle \mathrm{Im}({}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathbb{D})\rangle$ denotes the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}\otimes \mathcal{L}$ by the left $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$-submodule generated by $\mathrm{Im}({}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathbb{D})$. The structure of left $\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$-module on $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ transposed from $(\mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L})/\langle \mathrm{Im}({}^{\circlearrowleft}\mathbb{D})\rangle$ via (62) corresponds (cf. § 1.7) to an S-connection

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{D}} : \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes (\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \tag{63}$$

on $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$. Note that (since the subprincipal symbol of $\mathbb{D}$ is 0) the S-connection $\det(\nabla_{\mathbb{D}})$ on the determinant $\det(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L})$ induced by $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}}$ coincides, via $\det(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$, with the universal derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_{C_S} \to \Omega_{C_S/S}$. The PGL_2-torsor associated, via projectivization, with $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_G^{\dagger}$. Hence, $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}}$ yields an S-connection $\nabla_{\mathbb{D},G}$ on $\mathcal{P}_G^{\dagger}$. It follows from the various definitions involved that the pair

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\circledast\dagger} := (\mathcal{P}_B^{\dagger}, \nabla_{\mathbb{D},G}) \tag{64}$$

forms an indigenous bundle on C_S/S *of canonical type*.

Proposition 2.5.1.

(i) *Suppose that there exists a theta characteristic $\mathcal{L}$ of C_S/S. Then, the assignment $\mathbb{D} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\circledast\dagger}$ constructed above defines a bijective correspondence between the set $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq 2\bigstar})$ and the set of isomorphism classes of indigenous bundles on C_S/S.*

(ii) *For any indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ on C_S/S, there exists a unique indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}$ on C_S/S of canonical type which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$.*

Proof. Let us consider assertion (i). First, we shall prove the injectivity of the assignment $\mathbb{D} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\circledast\dagger}$. Let $\mathbb{D}_1$ and $\mathbb{D}_2$ be elements of $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff_{\mathcal{L}}^{\leq 2\bigstar})$, and suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\xi : \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}_1}^{\circledast\dagger} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}_2}^{\circledast\dagger}$. Let (U, x) be a local chart of C_S relative to S (which gives an identification $\tau_{(U,x)} : \mathcal{P}_G^{\dagger}|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times_R G$). One may find (after possibly replacing U with its étale covering) an element $R := \begin{pmatrix} b & c \\ 0 & \frac{1}{b} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(U)$ (where we shall write $\overline{R}$ for the image of R via the quotient $\mathrm{SL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow G$) such that the restriction of ξ to U may be described, via $\tau_{(U,x)}$, as the assignment $v \mapsto \overline{R} \cdot v$ (for any $v \in B(U)$). The restrictions $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_1}|_U$, $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_2}|_U$ of the S-connections $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_1}$, $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_2}$ may be expressed, via $\widetilde{\tau}^{\mathrm{ad}}_{(U,x)}$ (cf. (56)), as the maps determined by

$$1 \cdot \partial_x \mapsto \left(1 \cdot \partial_x, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad 1 \cdot \partial_x \mapsto \left(1 \cdot \partial_x, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \tag{65}$$

(for some $a_1, a_2 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})$) respectively. Hence, since ξ is compatible with the respective S-connections $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_1}$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}_2}$, one verifies the following equalities of elements of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{C_S} \otimes_R \mathfrak{gl}_2)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = R \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot R^{-1} + R \cdot d(R^{-1}) \tag{66}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-b'+c}{b} & a_1 b^2 - c^2 - bc' + b'c \\ \frac{1}{b^2} & \frac{b'-c}{b} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{67}$$

It follows that ($b^2 = 1$, $c = 0$, and) $a_1 = a_2$. In particular, by applying the above discussion to various local charts (U, x), we obtain the equality $\mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_2$. This completes the proof of the injectivity.

Next, let us prove the surjectivity of the assignment $\mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{D}}^{\circledast\dagger}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ be an indigenous bundle on C_S/S. It follows from Proposition 2.1.2 that we are (by means of descent with respect to étale morphisms) always free to replace S by any étale covering of S. Hence, we may assume that there exists a rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ on C_S *with trivial determinant* such that the PGL_2-torsor associated with $\mathcal{V}$ via projectivization is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_G$. The B-reduction $\mathcal{P}_B$ of $\mathcal{P}_G$ determines a line subbundle $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{V}$, which satisfies that $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N}^{\vee}$. Here, write $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ for the GL_2-torsor corresponding to $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ for the $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor induced from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ via the change of structure group by the determinant map $\det : \mathrm{GL}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_m$. Since the map $(q, \det) : \mathrm{GL}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} G \times_R \mathbb{G}_m$ (where q denotes the quotient $\mathrm{GL}_2 \twoheadrightarrow G$) is étale, the natural morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}/S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S} \times_{\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_G}, \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}, \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{G}_m}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{G}_m}/S} \tag{68}$$

is an isomorphism. Hence, the pair (∇, d) of the S-connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}_G$ and the trivial S-connection d on $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ determines an S-connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$ on $\mathcal{V}$. For each $j = 0, 1, 2$, we shall write α^j for the composite

$$\alpha^j : \mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathcal{V}}} \mathcal{V}, \tag{69}$$

where the first arrow arises from the injections $\mathcal{D}^{\leq j}_{C_S/S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{C_S/S}$ and $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}$. α^0 coincides (via the natural identification $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$) with an identity morphism of $\mathcal{N}$. Also, it follows from the definition of an indigenous bundle that α^1 is an isomorphism and α^2 is surjective. α^1 induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \ (\cong \det(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{N})) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong) \ \mathcal{O}_{C_S}. \tag{70}$$

That is to say, $\mathcal{N}$ (together with an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{C_S/S}$ induced by (70)) specifies a theta characteristic of C_S/S. In particular, the square of $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\vee}$ is trivial. There exists a unique S-connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}'}$ on $\mathcal{L}'$ whose square coincides with d. By tensoring $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla_{\mathcal{V}})$ with $(\mathcal{L}', \nabla_{\mathcal{L}'})$, we may assume that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{L}$.

Let us consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to 0 \tag{71}$$

(cf. (32)). The composite $(\alpha^1)^{-1} \circ \alpha^2 : \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ specifies a split surjection of (71). This split surjection determines a split injection $\mathcal{T}^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ of (71), and hence, determines, via (61), a differential operator $\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \to \mathcal{L}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}_{C_S/S}$. The isomorphism α^1 is verified to be compatible with the respective S-connections $\nabla_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\nabla_{\mathcal{V}}$, as well as with the respective filtrations $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \supseteq \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \supseteq 0$ and $\mathcal{V} \supseteq \mathcal{L} \supseteq 0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ is isomorphic to the indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}_{\mathbb{D}}$. This completes the proof of the surjectivity, and hence, assertion (i).

Next, let us consider assertion (ii). Since indigenous bundles (of canonical type) may be constructed by means of descent with respect to étale morphisms, we are always free to replace S with its étale covering (cf. Proposition 2.1.2). Thus, assertion (ii) may be reduced to the case where C_S/S admits a theta characteristic, which follows from assertion (i). □

2.6. Let us introduce notations for moduli functors classifying indigenous bundles. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{S}_{g,R} : \mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}} \to \mathfrak{Set} \tag{72}$$

the $\mathfrak{Set}$-valued functor on $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$ which, to any object $T \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$, assigns the set of isomorphism classes of indigenous bundles on the curve $f_T : C_T \to T$. Also, denote by

$$\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R} : \mathfrak{S}_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R} \tag{73}$$

the natural projection. For each object $S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$ as before, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_S := \mathfrak{S}_{g,R} \times_{\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R}, \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}} S, \tag{74}$$

which has the projection

$$\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_S : \mathfrak{S}_S \to S. \tag{75}$$

2.7. Next, let us consider a natural affine structure on $\mathfrak{S}_S$ by means of modular interpretation. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ be an indigenous bundle on C_S/S and $A \in \Gamma(C_S, \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$, i.e., a global section of the projection $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})) \to S$. By Propositions 2.1.2 and 2.5.1 (ii), there exist a unique indigenous bundle

$\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger} := (\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_B, \nabla^{\dagger})$ on C_S/S and a unique isomorphism $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}$. By passing to the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S} &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\overline{\nabla}^{\dagger\sharp}} \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G)^2 \\ &\hookrightarrow \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G/S} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}, \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G/S}), \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

one may identify A with an $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-linear morphism $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G/S}$. Hence, the sum $\nabla^{\dagger} + A : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G/S}$ makes sense and turns out to be an S-connection on $\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_G$. Moreover, it follows from the definition of an indigenous bundle (of canonical type) that the pair

$$\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{+A} := (\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}_B, \nabla^{\dagger} + A) \tag{77}$$

forms an indigenous bundle on C_S/S of canonical type. (Suppose that there exists a theta characteristic $\mathcal{L}$ of C_S/S, and let $\mathbb{D}$ be an element of $\Gamma(C_S, \mathcal{D}iff^{\leq 2\bigstar}_{\mathcal{L}})$ satisfying that $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger} = \mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{\mathbb{D}}$ (cf. Proposition 2.5.1 (ii)). Then, $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{+A}$ may be described as $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{+A} = \mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{\mathbb{D}+A}$.) The assignment $(\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}, A) \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\circledast\dagger}_{+A}$ is well-defined and functorial (in the evident sense) with respect to S, and hence, determines an action

$$\mathfrak{S}_S \times_S \mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})) \to \mathfrak{S}_S \tag{78}$$

on $\mathfrak{S}_S$. By Proposition 2.5.1 (i) and (ii), the following proposition holds.

Proposition 2.7.1 (cf. [26], Chap. I, § 2, Corollary 2.9)**.**
The functor $\mathfrak{S}_S$ may be represented by an $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}))$-torsor over S with respect to the $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}))$-action just discussed. In particular, if, moreover, S is a geometrically connected smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension n, then the functor $\mathfrak{S}_S$ may be represented by a geometrically connected smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension $n + 3g - 3$.

2.8. Let us consider a cohomological expression of the tangent bundle of the stack $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$. Let S and $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ be as above. Write $\overline{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ for the structure morphism of S and $v : S \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ for the S-rational point of $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ classifying $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$. By well-known generalities concerning deformation theory (cf. [30], § 10, p.122), there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$a_S : \overline{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}/R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}), \tag{79}$$

i.e., the pull-back via $\overline{v}$ of the Kodaira-Spencer map of the tautological curve $f_{g,R} : C_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$. On the other hand, the structure of $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}))$-torsor

on $\mathfrak{S}_S$ (cf. Proposition 2.7.1) yields a canonical isomorphism

$$c_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}). \tag{80}$$

Proposition 2.8.1.
There exists a canonical isomorphism

$$b_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \tag{81}$$

which fits into the following isomorphism of short exact sequences:

(82)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}) & \longrightarrow & v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) & \xrightarrow{d\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R}} & \overline{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}/R}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & {\scriptstyle c_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}}\downarrow\wr & & {\scriptstyle b_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}}\downarrow\wr & & {\scriptstyle a_S}\downarrow\wr & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\sharp}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^{\flat}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}$$

where the upper sequence is the exact sequence obtained by differentiating $\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R} : \mathfrak{S}_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$.

Proof. Denote by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} \to \Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \tag{83}$$

a unique $f_S^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_S)$-linear morphism determined by the condition that

$$\langle \partial, \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}(s) \rangle = [\nabla(\partial), \widetilde{\iota}(s)] - \nabla([\partial, \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_B}(s)]) \tag{84}$$

for any local sections $s \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S}$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$, where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times (\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)) \to \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ arising from the natural pairing $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. The surjection $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_B} : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} \to \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ and the zero map $\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \to 0$ determine a morphism of complexes

$$\alpha^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{P}_B} : \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}] \to \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}[0]. \tag{85}$$

Now, let us write $S_\epsilon := S \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(R[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$, and assume tentatively that S is affine. Let $(C_{S_\epsilon}, \mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon}, \nabla_\epsilon)$ be a deformation to S_ϵ of the triple $(C_S, \mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ (i.e., a curve C_S together with a B-torsor $\mathcal{P}_B$ over C_S and an S-connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}_B := \mathcal{P}_B \times^B G$). Write $\mathcal{P}_{G\epsilon} := \mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon} \times^B G$ and write $\widetilde{\iota}_\epsilon : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon}/S_\epsilon} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{G\epsilon}/S_\epsilon}$ for the injection arising from the natural injection $\mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon} \to \mathcal{P}_{G\epsilon}$. The composite

$$\mathcal{T}_{C_{S_\epsilon}/S_\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\nabla_\epsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{G\epsilon}/S_\epsilon} \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{G\epsilon}/S_\epsilon}/\widetilde{\iota}_\epsilon(\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon}/S_\epsilon}) \tag{86}$$

is an isomorphism since its restriction to C_S via the closed immersion $C_S \to C_{S_\epsilon}$ coincides with the isomorphism $\overline{\nabla}$. Thus, the pair $(\mathcal{P}_{B\epsilon}, \nabla_\epsilon)$ forms an indigenous bundle on $C_{S_\epsilon}/S_\epsilon$. This implies that the set of isomorphism classes of deformations of $(C_S, \mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ is in bijection with the set $\Gamma(C_S, v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}))$, i.e., the set of isomorphism classes of deformations of the pair $(C_S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$ (i.e., a

curve C_S together with an indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ on C_S/S). On the other hand, by an argument similar to the argument in the proof of [10], Proposition 4.4, there exists a natural bijective correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of deformations to S_ϵ of the triple $(C_S, \mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ and the underlying set of the 1-st hypercohomology group $\mathbb{H}^1(C_S, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}])$. Thus, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\Gamma(C_S, v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^1(C_S, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}]). \tag{87}$$

By applying the above discussion to various affine open subschemes of the original S (without the affineness assumption), we obtain, from (87), an isomorphism

$$v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}]). \tag{88}$$

Moreover, by the construction of (88), the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) & \xrightarrow{d\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R}} & \overline{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}/R}) \\ {\scriptstyle (88)}\downarrow\wr & & \wr\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_S} \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}]) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\flat_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \end{array} \tag{89}$$

is commutative.

Next, observe that the image of the $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-linear morphism $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S}$ given by assigning $s \mapsto s - \nabla \circ \alpha_{\mathcal{P}_B}(s)$ (for any local section $a \in \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S}$) lies in $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ $(= \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_{\mathcal{P}_G}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_G/S})$. If

$$\eta : \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{P}_B/S} \to \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \tag{90}$$

denotes the resulting morphism, then it turns out to be an isomorphism satisfying the equality $\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}} \circ \eta = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}$. Hence, η and the identity morphism of $\Omega_{C_S/S} \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ form an isomorphism of complexes

$$\eta^\bullet : \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{ad}}] \to \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}], \tag{91}$$

which makes the the following diagram commute:

(92)



By combining (89) with the diagram (92) applied the the functor $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(-)$, we obtain an isomorphism $b_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast} : v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$ and a commutative square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) & \xrightarrow{d\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{g,R}} & \overline{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}/R}) \\ {\scriptstyle b_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}}\downarrow\wr & & \wr\downarrow{\scriptstyle a_S} \\ \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\flat_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}). \end{array} \tag{93}$$

The isomorphism $v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S})$ obtained by restricting $b_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}$ (and by taking account of (50)) is verified to coincide with $c_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}$. Thus, the square diagram (93) extends to an isomorphism of short exact sequences of the form (82). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.8.1. □

3. Dormant Indigenous Bundles

In this section, we recall the definition of a dormant indigenous bundle and discuss various moduli functors related to this notion.

3.1. Let S, G, and B be as in § 2.1. Suppose further that $R = K$ for a field of characteristic $p > 2$. First, we recall the definition of p-curvature map. Let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \to C_S$ be a G-torsor over C_S and $\nabla : \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ an S-connection on $\mathcal{E}$. If ∂ is a derivation corresponding to a local section ∂ of $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S} := (\pi_*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{E}/S}))^G$), then we shall denote by $\partial^{[p]}$ the p-th iterate of ∂, which is also a derivation corresponding to a local section of $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$ (resp., $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$). Since $\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\partial^{[p]}) = (\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}(\partial))^{[p]}$ for any local section of $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}$, the image of the p-linear map $\mathcal{T}_{X/S} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{\mathcal{E}/S}$ defined by assigning $\partial \mapsto \nabla(\partial^{[p]}) - (\nabla(\partial))^{[p]}$ is contained in $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E})$ $(= \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha_{\mathcal{E}}))$. Thus, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-linear morphism

$$\psi_{(\mathcal{E},\nabla)} : \mathcal{T}^{\otimes p}_{C_S/S} \to \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{94}$$

determined by assigning

$$\partial^{\otimes p} \mapsto \nabla(\partial^{[p]}) - (\nabla(\partial))^{[p]}. \tag{95}$$

We shall refer to the morphism $\psi_{(\mathcal{E},\nabla)}$ as the **p-curvature map** of $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)$.

Definition 3.1.1.

(i) We shall say that an indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^\circledast := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ on C_S/S is **dormant** if the p-curvature map $\psi_{(\mathcal{P}_G,\nabla)}$ of $(\mathcal{P}_G, \nabla)$ vanishes identically on C_S.

(ii) Let T be a K-scheme. A **dormant curve** over T of genus g is a pair

$$X_{/T}^{\text{Zzz...}} := (X/T, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast}) \tag{96}$$

consisting of a proper curve X over T of genus g and a dormant indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ on X/T.

(iii) Let T be a K-scheme, and let $X_{/T}^{\text{Zzz...}} := (X/T, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\pi_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}_B \to X, \nabla_{\mathcal{P}}))$ and $Y_{/T}^{\text{Zzz...}} := (Y/T, \mathcal{Q}^{\circledast} := (\pi_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{Q}_B \to Y, \nabla_{\mathcal{Q}}))$ be dormant curves over T of genus g. An **isomorphism of dormant curves** from $X_{/T}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ to $Y_{/T}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ is a pair (h, h_B) consisting of an isomorphism $h : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ of T-schemes and an isomorphism $h_B : \mathcal{P}_B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_B$ that makes the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_B & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h_B} & \mathcal{Q}_B \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathcal{P}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{\mathcal{Q}}} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{h} & Y \end{array} \tag{97}$$

commute and is compatible with both the B-actions and the S-connections in the evident sense.

3.2. Next, we shall introduce the notion of ordinariness for dormant curves. If $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ is an indigenous bundle on C_S/S, then the natural morphism $\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})[0] \to \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]$ determines, via the functor $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(-)$, a morphism

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\natural} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \tag{98}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules. By composing it and $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\flat}$ (cf. (49)), we obtain a morphism

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\heartsuit} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \tag{99}$$

of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules. Notice that $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\heartsuit}$ coincides with the morphism obtained by applying the functor $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(-)$ to the natural composite

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \xrightarrow{\overline{\nabla}^{\flat}} \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}. \tag{100}$$

Definition 3.2.1.
We shall say that a dormant curve $C_{S/S}^{\text{Zzz...}} = (C_S/S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$ is **ordinary** if $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\heartsuit}$ is an isomorphism.

3.3. Denote by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \quad \left(\text{resp., } {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}\right) \tag{101}$$

the stack classifying dormant curves (resp., ordinary dormant curves) over K of genus g. (Notice that the ordinariness of a dormant curve depends only on its isomorphism class.) There is a natural sequence of stacks

$${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}. \tag{102}$$

We shall quote the following result from the p-adic Teichmüller theory studied by S. Mochizuki.

Proposition 3.3.1.
(Recall that K has characteristic $p > 2$.) The stack $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ may be represented by a nonempty, geometrically connected, and smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over K of dimension $3g-3$, which is a closed substack of $\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$. The projection $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,K}$ is finite and faithfully flat. The stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ may be repressnted by a dense open substack of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ and coincides with the étale locus of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}$. In particular, ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ is a nonempty, geometrically connected, and smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over K of dimension $3g-3$.

Proof. See [27], Chap. II, § 2.3, Lemma 2.7; [27], Chap. II, § 2.3, Theorem 2.8 (and its proof). □

3.4. Finally, by means of cohomological expressions, we describe the differential of the closed immersion $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$.

Proposition 3.4.1.
Let $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ be an indigenous bundle on C_S/S and let $v : S \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$ be (as in § 2.8) the S-rational point of $\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$ classifying $(C_S/S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$. Suppose further that v factors through the closed immersion $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$ (i.e., that $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$ is dormant). Denote by $\breve{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$ the resulting S-rational point of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}$. Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$d_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \breve{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}/K}) \tag{103}$$

which makes the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\natural}} & \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \\ {\scriptstyle d_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}}\downarrow\wr & & \wr\downarrow{\scriptstyle b_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}} \\ \breve{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\text{Zzz...}}/K}) & \longrightarrow & v^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}/K}) \end{array} \tag{104}$$

commute, where the lower horizontal arrow denotes the $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear morphism obtained by differentiating the closed immersion $\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{Zzz\ldots} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$.

Proof. See the proof of [27], Chap. II, § 2.3, Theorem 2.8. □

4. Preservation of Symplectic Structure

In this section, we construct a natural symplectic structure (cf. (108)) on the moduli stack $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ in order to state the main result of the present paper, i.e., Theorem A (= Theorem 4.4.1).

4.1. Let R be as in § 1.1, G and B as in § 2.1. Let $\overline{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ be an object of $\mathfrak{Sch}_{/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla)$ an indigenous bundle on C_S/S. Denote by $v : S \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ the S-rational point of $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ classifying the pair $(C_S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$. Recall that the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ $(= \mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is a *nondegenerate* symmetric bilinear map $\kappa : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to k$ defined by $\kappa(a, b) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{ad}(a) \cdot \mathrm{ad}(b))$ $(= \mathrm{tr}(ab))$ for any a, $b \in \mathfrak{g}$. (Recall that 2 is invertible in R.) By carrying out the change of structure group via κ, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_S$-linear morphism

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}, \tag{105}$$

which induces an isomorphism

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\triangleright} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^{\vee}. \tag{106}$$

Let us write $\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}^{\otimes 2}}$ for the S-connection on the tensor product $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ induced naturally by ∇_{ad}. The morphism $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}$ is compatible with the respective S-connections $\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}^{\otimes 2}}$ and d. By composing the morphism $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}$ and the cup product in the de Rham cohomology, we obtain a skew-symmetric $\mathcal{O}_S$-bilinear map on $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \otimes \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) &\to \mathbb{R}^2 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}^{\otimes 2}}]) \\ &\to \mathbb{R}^2 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[d]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\int_{C_S}} \mathcal{O}_S \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

(cf. [20], Corollary 5.6, for the third arrow). The $\mathcal{O}_S$-bilinear maps (107) of the case where $(S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast}) = (\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}, \mathcal{P}_{g,R}^{\circledast})$ (where $\mathcal{P}_{g,R}^{\circledast}$ denotes the tautological indigenous bundle on the curve $C_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}}$ over $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$) determines a 2-form

$$\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}} \tag{108}$$

on $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ (cf. Proposition 2.7.1).

4.2. In this subsection, we shall prove that $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ forms a symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ (cf. Proposition 4.2.2). To this end, we first prepare for describing Lemma 4.2.1 below, which asserts the compatibility of a diagram consisting of the tangent spaces of various complex analytic spaces and cohomologies.

Let us consider the case where $R = \mathbb{C}$. Let Σ be a connected orientable closed surface of genus g and $\pi := \pi_1(\Sigma, z)$ the fundamental group of Σ with respect to a fixed base-point $z \in \Sigma$. The space $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)$ of representations $\pi \to G$ has a canonical G-action obtained by composing representations with inner automorphisms of G; the orbit space is denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)/G$. If we denote by

$$\mathfrak{R} \ (\subseteq \mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)/G) \tag{109}$$

the subspace consisting of all irreducible representations, then $\mathfrak{R}$ is a complex manifold of dimension $6g - 6$. According to the discussion in [14], § 1.4, § 1.7, and Theorem, $\mathfrak{R}$ admits a holomorphic symplectic structure

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{R}} \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{R}, \bigwedge\nolimits^{2} \Omega_{\mathfrak{R}}) \tag{110}$$

which is characterized by the property described as follows. Let $\phi : \pi \to G$ be a homomorphism classified by a point t_ϕ of $\mathfrak{R}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}$ the $\mathbb{C}[\pi]$-module defined to be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ equipped with the π-action given by the composite $\pi \overset{\phi}{\to} G \overset{\mathrm{Ad}}{\to} \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$t_\phi^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{R}}) \overset{\sim}{\to} H^1(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}) \tag{111}$$

between the tangent space $t_\phi^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{R}})$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ at t_ϕ and the 1-st cohomology group $H^1(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)})$ of the $\mathbb{C}[\pi]$-module $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}$. The pairing

$$H^1(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}) \times H^1(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}) \to \mathbb{C} \tag{112}$$

obtained by composing the cup product in the group cohomology and the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$ gives, via (111), a skew-symmetric bilinear map on $t_\phi^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{R}})$. Then, by the construction of $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}$ (cf. [14], § 1.4 and § 1.7), the bilinear map on $t_\phi^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{R}})$ just obtained coincides with the restriction to t_ϕ of the bilinear map determined by $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}$.

Next, notice that the complex analytic stack $\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ associated with $\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}$ may be thought of as the moduli stack classifying connected compact Riemann surfaces of genus g equipped with an indigenous bundle. (Here, if, in Definition 2.1.1 (i), "C_S" is replaced by a Riemann surface and the words "B-torsor" and "connection" are understood in the analytic sense, then one obtains the notion of an indigenous bundle on a *Riemann surface*.) We shall write $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}$ (cf. (7)) for the space of all projective structures on Σ and

$$t^{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}} \tag{113}$$

for the morphism determined by the assignment from each projective structure to an indigenous bundle mentioned in § 0.2. By taking the monodromy

representations of indigenous bundles, we obtain (cf. [19], Theorem) a local biholomorphic map

$$t^{\mathfrak{R}} : \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \to \mathfrak{R}. \tag{114}$$

Now, let X be a connected compact Riemann surface of genus g (i.e., a complex structure on Σ) with marking $\mu : \Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ and $\mathcal{P}^{*} := \{\varphi_{\alpha} : (X \supseteq) U_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\alpha} (\subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}})\}_{\alpha}$ (where $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ denotes the projective line) a projective structure on Σ defining X. The pair $(X, \mathcal{P}^{*})$ determines its classifying morphism $t_{\mathcal{P}^{*}} : \mathrm{pt} \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ (where pt denotes the complex analytic space consisting of exactly one point). The composite $t_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast \mathrm{an}}} (:= t^{\mathfrak{S}} \circ t_{\mathcal{P}^{*}}) : \mathrm{pt} \to \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{an}}_{g,\mathbb{C}}$ classifies an indigenous bundle $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast \mathrm{an}} := (\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an}})$ on X. Denote by $\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathrm{ad}}$ the $\mathbb{C}$-connection (in the analytic sense) on the (holomorphic) adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{G})$ of the G-torsor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{G} := \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B} \times^{B} G$. If ϕ denotes the representation $\pi \to G$ classified by the composite $t_{\phi} (:= t^{\mathfrak{R}} \circ t_{\mathcal{P}^{*}}) : \mathrm{pt} \to \mathfrak{R}$, then, by the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{1}(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^{1}(X, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathrm{ad}}]). \tag{115}$$

Finally, write X^{alg} for the proper curve over $\mathbb{C}$ corresponding to X and $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast} := (\mathcal{P}_{B}, \nabla)$ for the indigenous bundle on X^{alg} corresponding to $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast \mathrm{an}}$. The pair $(X^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast})$ determines its classifying morphism $t_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}} : \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}$. Then, we obtain a composite isomorphism

$$t^{*}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast \mathrm{an}}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{an}}_{g,\mathbb{C}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} t^{*}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^{1}(X^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]), \tag{116}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the GAGA principle and the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 2.8.1. Also, it follows from [11], II, Théorème 6.13, that there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^{1}(X^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^{1}(X, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathrm{ad}}]). \tag{117}$$

Lemma 4.2.1.
The following diagram of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} t^{*}_{\mathcal{P}^{*}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & t^{*}_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast \mathrm{an}}}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{an}}_{g,\mathbb{C}}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(116)} & \mathbb{H}^{1}(X^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \\ \downarrow \wr & & & & \wr \downarrow (117) \\ t^{*}_{\phi}(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{R}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(111)} & H^{1}(\pi, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{Ad}(\phi)}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{(115)} & \mathbb{H}^{1}(X, \mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}_{\mathrm{ad}}]), \end{array} \tag{118}$$

where

- *the left-hand vertical arrow arises from $t^{\mathfrak{R}}$;*
- *the left-hand arrow in the upper horizontal sequence arises from $t^{\mathfrak{S}}$.*

Proof. In the following discussion, we shall write $\mathrm{pt}_{\epsilon} := \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^{2})^{\mathrm{an}}$. Also, for each complex analytic space U, we shall write $U_{\epsilon} := U \times \mathrm{pt}_{\epsilon}$.

Let us take an analytic open covering $\mathfrak{U} := \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ (where I is an index set) of X such that the restriction $(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha})$ of $(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an}})$ to each U_α is trivial. That is to say, for each $\alpha \in I$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\gamma_\alpha : U_\alpha \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha} \tag{119}$$

of G-torsors that is compatible with the respective connections $\nabla_\alpha^{\mathrm{triv}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha}$ (where we consider $U_\alpha \times G$ as the trivial G-torsor over U_α equipped with the trivial connection $\nabla_\alpha^{\mathrm{triv}}$). Here, recall that the flag variety G/B of G classifying all Borel subgroups of G is isomorphic to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$. We shall fix an isomorphism $G/B \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. The B-reduction $\gamma_\alpha^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha})$ of the trivial G-torsor $G \times U_\alpha$ determines its classifying morphism

$$\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to ((G/B)^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim}) \ \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}. \tag{120}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{univ}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ denotes the universal B-reduction of the trivial G-torsor $G \times \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}$ over $\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}$ ($\cong (G/B)^{\mathrm{an}}$), then we have $\gamma_\alpha^*(\mathcal{P}_B^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha}) \cong \varphi_\alpha^*(\mathcal{P}_{B,\mathrm{univ}}^{\mathrm{an}})$. We shall write $V_\alpha := \mathrm{Im}(\varphi_\alpha)$. By abuse of notation, we also write φ_α for the morphism $U_\alpha \to V_\alpha$ obtained from $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}$ by restricting the codomain to V_α. It follows from the definition of an indigenous bundle that φ_α is locally biholomorphic. Hence, after possibly replacing $\mathfrak{U}$ by its refinement, one may assume that each $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \to V_\alpha$ is biholomorphic. The collection of data $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ forms a projective structure on Σ equivalent to $\mathcal{P}^*$.

Let us take an element $u \in t_{\mathcal{P}^*}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}\Sigma}})$ and denote by $u' \in \mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}])$ the image of u via the composite of the left-hand vertical arrow and the lower sequence of isomorphisms in (118). The set $\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}])$ is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of deformations of $(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an}})$ to pt_ϵ (cf. [28], Proposition 5). By this bijective correspondence, u' corresponds to a deformation which we denote by $(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an},1}, \nabla^{\mathrm{an},1})$. After possibly replacing $\mathfrak{U}$ with its refinement, one may calculate $\mathbb{H}^1(X, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}])$ as the total cohomology of the Čech double complex $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}])$ associated with $\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}]$. In particular, u' may be represented by a 1-cocycle $\widetilde{u}'$ of $\mathrm{Tot}^\bullet(\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}]))$. This 1-cocycle $\widetilde{u}'$ may be given by a collection of data

$$\widetilde{u}' = (\{a_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}, \{b_\alpha\}_\alpha) \tag{121}$$

consisting of a Čech 1-cocycle $\{a_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta} \in \check{C}^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}))$ (where $a_{\alpha,\beta} \in \Gamma(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}))$) and a Čech 0-cochain $\{b_\alpha\}_\alpha \in \check{C}^0(\mathfrak{U}, \Omega_X \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}))$ (where $b_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}))$) which agree under $\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ and the Čech coboundary map. For each $(\alpha, \beta) \in I \times I$ with $U_{\alpha,\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, the element $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ determines, in a natural manner, a deformation $\mathrm{id}_{\alpha,\beta}^1$ of the identity morphism of the G-torsor $(\mathcal{P}_G^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}})_\epsilon$ over $(U_{\alpha,\beta})_\epsilon$. The B-reduction $(\mathrm{id}_{\alpha,\beta}^1 \circ (\gamma_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha\beta} \times G} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pt}_\epsilon}))^*((\mathcal{P}_B^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}})_\epsilon)$ of $G \times (U_{\alpha,\beta})_\epsilon$ determines its classifying morphism

$$(U_{\alpha,\beta})_\epsilon \to ((G/B)^{\mathrm{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim}) \ \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}} \tag{122}$$

extending $\varphi_\alpha|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}} : U_{\alpha,\beta} \to V_\alpha$ ($\subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}$). The morphism (122) and the projection $(U_{\alpha,\beta})_\epsilon \to \mathrm{pt}_\epsilon$ give an isomorphism

$$\varphi^1_\alpha : (U_{\alpha,\beta})_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} ((V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta})) \times \mathrm{pt}_\epsilon =) \ (V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon. \tag{123}$$

We shall write

$$\varphi^1_{\alpha,\beta} := (\varphi_\beta|_{U_{\alpha,\beta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{pt}_\epsilon}) \circ (\varphi^1_\alpha)^{-1} : (V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} (V_\beta \cap \varphi_\beta(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon. \tag{124}$$

Then, the collection $\{(V_\alpha)_\epsilon\}_\alpha$ may be glued together, by means of the isomorphisms $\{\varphi^1_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}$, to a family of projective structures parametrized by pt_ϵ, which specifies a family of Riemann surfaces X^1. By the construction of $\varphi^1_{\alpha,\beta}$, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi^{1,B}_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B,\mathrm{univ}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}} (V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B,\mathrm{univ}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}} (V_\beta \cap \varphi_\beta(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon \tag{125}$$

which is compatible with the respective B-actions and makes the square diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B,\mathrm{univ}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}} (V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi^{1,B}_{\alpha,\beta}} & \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B,\mathrm{univ}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}} (V_\beta \cap \varphi_\beta(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (V_\alpha \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\varphi^{1}_{\alpha,\beta}} & (V_\beta \cap \varphi_\beta(U_{\alpha,\beta}))_\epsilon \end{array} \tag{126}$$

commute, where the both sides of vertical arrows are the projections onto the second factors. Thus, the B-torsors $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_{B,\mathrm{univ}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{1\mathrm{an}}} (V_\alpha)_\epsilon$ (for $\alpha \in I$) may be glued together, by means of the isomorphisms $\{\varphi^{1,B}_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}$, to a B-torsor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an},1}_B$ over X^1. In particular, we obtain a G-torsor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an},1}_G := \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an},1}_B \times^B G$.

Next, for each $\alpha \in I$, the element $b_\alpha \in \Gamma(U_\alpha, \Omega_X \otimes \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_G))$ determines, in a natural manner, a deformation $\nabla^{\mathrm{an},1}_\alpha$ to pt_ϵ of the $\mathbb{C}$-connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{an}}|_{U_\alpha}$ on $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an}}_G|_{U_\alpha}$. By the various definitions involved, the collection of connections $\{\nabla^{\mathrm{an},1}_\alpha\}_\alpha$ may be glued together to a connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{an},1}$ on $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an},1}_G$.

It follows from the GAGA principle that there exists a proper curve $X^{\mathrm{alg},1}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$ whose associated complex analytic space is isomorphic to X^1. (In particular, $X^{\mathrm{alg},1}$ is a deformation of X^{alg} to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$.) Also, we obtain a B-torsor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{alg},1}_B$ corresponding to $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{an},1}_B$ and a $(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$-connection $\nabla^{\mathrm{alg},1}$ on $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{alg},1}_G := \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{alg},1}_B \times^B G$ corresponding to $\nabla^{\mathrm{an},1}$. The pair $(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{alg},1}_B, \nabla^{\mathrm{alg},1})$ forms a deformation of $\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}$, which determines an element u'' of $\mathbb{H}^1(X^{\mathrm{alg}}, \mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$ (cf. Proposition 2.8.1). One verifies immediately that u'' coincides with the image of u via the composite of the two arrows in the upper horizontal sequence in (118). This implies that the diagram (118) is commutative, and hence, completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.1. $\square$

Proposition 4.2.2.
$\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ is a symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$.

Proof. The nondegeneracy of $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ follows from the explicit description of hypercohomology in terms of the Čech double complex associated with $\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]$. Indeed, one verifies easily from such an explicit description that the bilinear map $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}$ (cf. (105)) induces naturally a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_S$-modules

$$\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\blacktriangleright} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])^{\vee} \tag{127}$$

and a morphism of spectral sequences from

$$\text{“}E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{R}^q f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^p[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q} f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])\text{”} \tag{128}$$

to

$$\text{“}E_1^{p,q} = \mathbb{R}^{1-p} f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{1-q}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])^{\vee} \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2-p-q} f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{\bullet}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])^{\vee}\text{”} \tag{129}$$

which are compatible with $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\blacktriangleright}$ in the evident sense. But the constituents $\mathbb{R}^q f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^p[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \to \mathbb{R}^{1-p} f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^{1-q}[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])^{\vee}$ in this morphism of spectral sequences are, in fact, the composites of $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\triangleright}$ and the isomorphisms arising from Serre duality. It thus follows that $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\blacktriangleright}$ is an isomorphism, which implies that $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ is nondegenerate.

Next, we consider the closedness of $\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$. Since the closedness of a differential form is preserved under base change via $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$, it suffices to verify the case where $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. But, $\bigwedge^3 \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, so the assertion of the case where $R = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ follows from the assertion of the case where $R = \mathbb{C}$. By Lemma 4.2.1 and the construction of $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}$, the 2-form $t^{\mathfrak{R}*}(\omega_{\mathfrak{R}})$ coincides with $t^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{PGL,an}})$ up to a constant factor, where $\omega_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{PGL,an}}$ denotes the holomorphic 2-form on $\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ corresponding to $\omega_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$. But, since $\omega_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is closed (by [14], Theorem), the 2-form $\omega_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{PGL,an}}$, as well as $\omega_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$, turns out to be closed (cf. the first isomorphism in (116)). This completes the proof of Proposition 4.2.2. □

4.3. Suppose further that $\overline{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ is étale (hence $\mathfrak{S}_S$ is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over R of relative dimension $6g-6$). Consider the composite isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{S/R} \ \left(= \mathcal{T}_{S/R}^{\vee}\right) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{v}^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}/R}^{\vee}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{v}^*(\mathbb{R}^1 f_{g,R*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}})^{\vee}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{v}^*(f_{g,R*}(\Omega_{C_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}^{\otimes 2})) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}), \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

where

- the first isomorphism follows from the étaleness of $\overline{v}$;
- the second and third isomorphisms arises from the isomorphisms $a_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}$ (cf. (79)) and $\int_{C_{g,R},\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_{g,R}/\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}}}$ (cf. (24)) respectively.

Because of this composite isomorphism, the cotangent bundle $T_S^\vee$ $(= \mathbb{A}(\Omega_{S/R}))$ may be thought of as the *trivial* $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}))$-torsor over S. Hence, for each global section $\sigma : S \to \mathfrak{S}_S$ of the projection $\pi_S^{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathfrak{S}_S \to S$, there exists (by Proposition 2.7.1) a unique isomorphism

$$\Psi_\sigma : T_S^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_S \tag{131}$$

over S which is compatible with the respective $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}_{C_S/S}))$-actions and whose restriction $\Psi_\sigma|_{0_S}$ to the zero section $0_S : S \to T_S^\vee$ coincides with σ. In particular, Ψ_σ induces an isomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{T}_S^\vee/S}) & \longrightarrow & 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^\vee/R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{S/R} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \wr\downarrow & & \wr\downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \sigma^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_S/S}) & \longrightarrow & \sigma^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_S/R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_{S/R} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{132}$$

Next, write $\overline{v}^{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathfrak{S}_S \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,R}$ for the base-change of $\overline{v}$ via the projection $\pi_{g,R}^{\mathfrak{S}} : \mathfrak{S}_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$. Since $\overline{v}^{\mathfrak{S}}$ is étale (which implies that $\overline{v}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,R}/R}) \cong \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_S/R}$), the pull-back

$$\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}} := \overline{v}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_{g,R}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) \tag{133}$$

determines a symplectic structure on $\mathfrak{S}_S$ (cf. Proposition 4.2.2).

4.4. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$. We shall write

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} &:= T^\vee_{{}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}} \ (= T^\vee_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}} {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}), \\ {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} &:= \mathfrak{S}_{g,K} \times_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}} {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}. \end{aligned} \tag{134}$$

The stack ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$ admits a section $\sigma_{g,K} : {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} \to {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$ arising from the natural immersion ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} \to \mathfrak{S}_{g,K}$. By Proposition 3.3.1, one may apply the discussion in the previous subsection to the case where the data "$(R, S, \sigma : S \to \mathfrak{S}_S)$" is taken to be $(K, {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}, \sigma_{g,K})$. Thus, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\Psi_{g,K} : {}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots} \tag{135}$$

over ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$ (cf. (131)) and a symplectic sturcture

$$\omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}} \tag{136}$$

on ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$ (cf. (133)). On the other hand, recall that ${}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\,\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}$ admits a canonical symplectic structure

$$\omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{can}} := \omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{{}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\ldots}} \tag{137}$$

(cf. (17)). The main result of the present paper is Theorem A, i.e., the theorem described as follows.

Theorem 4.4.1.
The isomorphism $\Psi_{g,K}$ preserves the symplectic structure, i.e.,

$$\Psi_{g,K}^{*}(\omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{can}}. \tag{138}$$

5. Proof of Theorem A

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.4.1.

5.1. First, let us consider the behavior of the symplectic structures ω_S^{can} and ω_S^{PGL} under the translation by an element of $\Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/K})$. Let R be as in § 1.1 and $\overline{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$ an étale relative scheme over $\mathfrak{M}_{g,R}$. In the following, $(-)$ denotes either $T^{\vee}$ or $\mathfrak{S}$. We shall write

$$(-)_S^{T^{\vee}} := (-)_S \times_S T_S^{\vee}, \tag{139}$$

which has the projections

$$\pi_{S,1}^{(-)} : (-)_S^{T^{\vee}} \to (-)_S \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{S,2}^{(-)} : (-)_S^{T^{\vee}} \to T_S^{\vee} \tag{140}$$

onto the first and second factors respectively. Define $\tau_S^{(-)}$ to be the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_S^{(-)} : (-)_S^{T^{\vee}} &\to (-)_S^{T^{\vee}} \times_S \mathbb{A}(\Omega_{S/R}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} (-)_S^{T^{\vee}} \times_S \mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2})) \\ &\to (-)_S^{T^{\vee}}, \end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

where

- the first arrow denotes the product of the identity morphism of $(-)_S$ and the section $T_S^{\vee} \to T_S^{\vee} \times_S \mathbb{A}(\Omega_{S/R})$ corresponding to the Liouville form $\lambda_S \in \Gamma(T_S^{\vee}, \pi_S^{T^{\vee}*}(\Omega_{S/R}))$ (cf. § 1.4) on $T_S^{\vee}$;
- the second arrow denotes the product of the identity morphism of $(-)_S^{T^{\vee}}$ and the isomorphism $\mathbb{A}(\Omega_{S/R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}))$ (cf. (130));
- the third arrow arises from the structure of $\mathbb{A}(f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}))$-torsor (cf. (130) and Proposition 2.7.1) on the first factors in $(-)_S^{T^{\vee}} := (-)_S \times_S T_S^{\vee}$.

For each $A \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/R})$ $(= \Gamma(C_S, \Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}))$, we denote by

$$\tau_{S,A}^{(-)} : (-)_S \xrightarrow{\sim} (-)_S \tag{142}$$

the automorphism of $(-)_S$ determined, via its affine structure, by the translation by A. Also, denote by

$$\sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee} : S \to T_S^\vee \tag{143}$$

the section of $\pi_S^{T^\vee}$ corresponding to A (hence, $0_S = \sigma_{S,0}^{T^\vee}$). The equality

$$\tau_{S,A}^{(-)} = \pi_{S,1}^{(-)} \circ \tau_S^{(-)} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{(-)_S} \times \sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee}) \tag{144}$$

holds.

If S is replaced by a covering space S' (say, $\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma$) of $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$, then we obtain, in the same manner, a complex analytic stack $(-)_{S'}^{T^\vee}$ and various morphisms $\pi_{S',1}^{(-)}$, $\pi_{S',2}^{(-)}$, and $\tau_{S'}^{(-)}$. (They will be used in the proof of Proposition 5.1.2.)

Then, the following Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 hold.

Proposition 5.1.1.
The following equality of elements in $\Gamma(T_S^{\vee T^\vee}, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{T_S^{\vee T^\vee}/R})$ *holds:*

$$(\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee} \circ \tau_S^{T^\vee})^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) = \pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) + \pi_{S,2}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}). \tag{145}$$

In particular, for each $A \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/R})$*, the following equality holds:*

$$\tau_{S,A}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) = \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}} + \pi_S^{T^\vee *}(dA). \tag{146}$$

Proof. Let us consider the former assertion. Let $q_1, \cdots, q_{3g-3}$ be local coordinates in S, and let $p_1, \cdots, p_{3g-3}$ and $p'_1, \cdots p'_{3g-3}$ be its dual coordinates in the first and second factors, respectively, of the product $T_S^{\vee T^\vee} = T_S^\vee \times_S T_S^\vee$. Then, locally on $T_S^{\vee T^\vee}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee} \circ \tau_S^{T^\vee})^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) &= (\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee} \circ \tau_S^{T^\vee})^*(\sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} dp_i \wedge dq_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} d(p_i + p'_i) \wedge dq_i \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} dp_i \wedge dq_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{3g-3} dp'_i \wedge dq_i\right) \\
&= \pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) + \pi_{S,2}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

This completes the proof of the former assertion.

The latter assertion follows from the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}(148)\qquad & \tau_{S,A}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{T_S^\vee} \times \sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee})^*((\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee} \circ \tau_S^{T^\vee})^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})) \\ &= (\mathrm{id}_{T_S^\vee} \times \sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee})^*(\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) + \pi_{S,2}^{T^\vee *}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})) \\ &= (\pi_{S,1}^{T^\vee} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{T_S^\vee} \times \sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee}))^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) + (\sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee} \circ \pi_S^{T^\vee})^*(d\lambda_S) \\ &= \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}} + \pi_S^{T^\vee *}(d(\sigma_{S,A}^{T^\vee *}(\lambda_S))) \\ &= \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}} + \pi_S^{T^\vee *}(dA),\end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (144) and the second equality follows from (145). □

Proposition 5.1.2.
The following equality of elements in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{S}_S^{T^\vee}, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_S^{T^\vee}/R})$ *holds:*

$$(\pi_{S,1}^{\mathfrak{S}} \circ \tau_S^{\mathfrak{S}})^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \pi_{S,1}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) + \pi_{S,2}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}). \tag{149}$$

In particular, for each $A \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/R})$*, the following equality holds:*

$$\tau_{S,A}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}} + \pi_S^{\mathfrak{S}*}(dA). \tag{150}$$

Proof. The latter assertion follows from the former assertion and the argument in the proof of the latter assertion of Proposition 5.1.1 where "$T^\vee$" is replaced by "$\mathfrak{S}$".

Let us prove the former assertion. The equality (149) may be obtained as the pull-back, via the composite $S \xrightarrow{\overline{v}} \mathfrak{M}_{g,R} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$, of the equality (149) of the case where (R, S) is taken to be $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]})$. Hence, the proof of the assertion is reduced to the case where $(R, S) = (\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], \mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]})$. Also, since $\bigwedge^2 \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}^{T^\vee}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}$ (where $\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}^{T^\vee} := \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}}^{T^\vee}$) is flat over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, the natural morphism

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}^{T^\vee}, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}^{T^\vee}/\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{T^\vee}, \bigwedge^2 \Omega_{\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{T^\vee}/\mathbb{C}}) \tag{151}$$

(where $\mathfrak{S}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{T^\vee} := \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{C}}}^{T^\vee}$) arising from base-change via $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is injective. Thus, it suffices to prove the equality (149) of the case where $(R, S) = (\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover, by applying the analytification functor and replacing $\mathfrak{M}_{g,\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{an}}$ by its covering space $\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma$, one can reduce to proving the equality

$$(\pi_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma,1}^{\mathfrak{S}} \circ \tau_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathfrak{S}})^*(\omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \pi_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma,1}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{PGL}}) + \pi_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma,2}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_{\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma}^{\mathrm{can}}) \tag{152}$$

of holomorphic 2-forms on $\mathfrak{T}^\Sigma$.

Since $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$ is simply connected, there exists a complex differential 1-form δ on $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$ satisfying the equality $(1+\sqrt{-1})\cdot\omega_{WP} = -d\delta$, where ω_{WP} denotes the Weil-Petersson symplectic form on $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}$. Denote by $\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{unif}}$ and $\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif}}$ the C^{∞} sections $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma} \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ determined by the Fuchsian and Bers uniformizations respectively (cf. [12]; [1], § 3.1, (3.1)). Because of the $\mathbb{A}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})$-torsor structure on $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$, it makes sense to speak of the sum

$$\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta} := \sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif}} + \delta, \tag{153}$$

which specifies a section $\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma} \to \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$. The differences $\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{unif}} - \sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif}}$ and $\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{unif}} - \sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}$ may be thought of as elements of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{unif}} - \sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}) &= d(\sigma^{F}_{\mathrm{unif}} - \sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif}}) - d\delta \\ &= -\sqrt{-1}\cdot\omega_{WP} + (1+\sqrt{-1})\cdot\omega_{WP} \\ &= \omega_{WP}, \end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

where the second equality follows from [25], Theorem 1.5, and the definition of δ. Thus, it follows from [24], Theorem 6.8, that the diffeomorphism $\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} : T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$ induced by $\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}$ satisfies the equality $\Psi^{*}_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) = \omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}$. Hence, the following sequence of equalities holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}})^{*}((\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ \tau^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})) \\ &= (\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ \tau^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}} \circ (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}}))^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \\ &= (\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}}) \circ \tau^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \\ &= (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \circ \pi^{\mathfrak{S},\mathrm{an}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ \tau^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \\ &= (\pi^{\mathfrak{S}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ \tau^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}). \end{aligned} \tag{155}$$

On the other hand, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}})^{*}(\pi^{\mathfrak{S}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) + \pi^{\mathfrak{S}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},2}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})) \\ &= (\pi^{\mathfrak{S}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}}))^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) + (\pi^{\mathfrak{S}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},2} \circ (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \times \mathrm{id}_{T^{\vee}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}}))^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \\ &= (\Psi_{\sigma^{B}_{\mathrm{unif},\delta}} \circ \pi^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{PGL}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) + \pi^{T^{\vee}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},2}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \\ &= \pi^{T^{\vee}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) + \pi^{T^{\vee}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},2}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}). \end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

By (155) and (156), the equality (152) holds if and only if the equality

$$(\pi^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1} \circ \tau^{T^{\vee}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}})^{*}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) = \pi^{T^{\vee}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},1}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) + \pi^{T^{\vee}*}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma},2}(\omega^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathfrak{T}^{\Sigma}}) \tag{157}$$

holds. But, the equality (157) follows from an argument similar to the argument in the proof of the former assertion of Proposition 5.1.1. This completes the proof of the former assertion of Proposition 5.1.2. □

5.2. Now, let us consider the case where $R = K$ for a field K of characteristic $p > 2$. Suppose further that $\overline{v} : S \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,K}$ factors through the projection ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots} \to \mathfrak{M}_{g,K}$ and that the resulting morphism $\breve{v} : S \to {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}$ is étale. Denote by

$$\sigma_S : S \to \mathfrak{S}_S \quad (\text{resp., } \Psi_S : T_S^{\vee} \to \mathfrak{S}_S) \tag{158}$$

the restriction of $\sigma_{g,K}$ (resp., $\Psi_{g,K}$) to S. Since the natural map

$$\Gamma({}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}, \bigwedge{}^2\Omega_{{}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}/K}) \to \Gamma(T_S^{\vee}, \bigwedge{}^2\Omega_{T_S^{\vee}/K}) \tag{159}$$

induced from $\breve{v}$ is injective, the proof of Theorem 4.4.1 is reduced to proving the equality

$$\Psi_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}. \tag{160}$$

Moreover, for the same reason, we are always free to replace S by any étale covering of S.

5.3. Let us apply Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 in order to obtain, for each $A \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/K})$, the following sequence of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) - 0_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) \\
&= \sigma_S^*(\tau_{S,A}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) - dA) - 0_S^*(\tau_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) - dA) \\
&= (0_S^*(\Psi_S^*(\tau_{S,A}^{\mathfrak{S}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}))) - dA) - (0_S^*(\tau_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})) - dA) \\
&= 0_S^*(\tau_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}*}(\Psi_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}})) - \tau_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}*}(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})) \\
&= \sigma_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}*}(\Psi_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) - \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{161}$$

After possibly replacing S by its étale covering, we may assume that S *is affine and the vector bundle* $\Omega_{S/K}$ *is free*. Under this assumption, $\Psi_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) - \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}} = 0$ if and only if $\sigma_{S,A}^{T^{\vee}*}(\Psi_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) - \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}) = 0$ for all $A \in \Gamma(S, \Omega_{S/K})$. Thus, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 4.4.1, it suffices (by (161)) to prove the equality

$$\sigma_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}}) = 0_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}}). \tag{162}$$

5.4. Let us consider the right-hand side (i.e., $0_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})$) of the equality (162). The differential of the zero section $0_S : S \to T_S^{\vee}$ specifies a split injection $\mathcal{T}_{S/K} \hookrightarrow 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^{\vee}/K})$ of the natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^{\vee}/S}) \to 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^{\vee}/K}) \to \mathcal{T}_{S/K} \to 0. \tag{163}$$

This split injection gives a decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^\vee/K}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{S/K} \oplus 0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^\vee/S}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{S/K} \oplus \Omega_{S/K} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \oplus f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}), \end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from (130). The $\mathcal{O}_S$-bilinear map on $0_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{T_S^\vee/K})$ determined by ω_S^{can} is given, via this decomposition, by the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \times f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}) \to \mathcal{O}_S$ arising from $\int_{C_S, \Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}}$ (cf. (24)). More precisely, this bilinear map may be expressed, via (164), as the map given by assigning

$$((a, b), (a', b')) \mapsto \langle a, b' \rangle - \langle a', b \rangle \tag{165}$$

for local sections a, $a' \in \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S})$ and b, $b' \in f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2})$.

5.5. Next, let us consider the left-hand side (i.e., $\sigma_S^*(\omega_S^{\mathrm{PGL}})$) of (162). The differential of the section σ_S $(= \Psi_S \circ 0_S) : S \to \mathfrak{S}_S$ specifies a split injection $\mathcal{T}_{S/K} \hookrightarrow \sigma_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_S/K})$ of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \sigma_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_S/S}) \to \sigma_S^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{S}_S/K}) \to \mathcal{T}_{S/K} \to 0. \tag{166}$$

If $C_{S/S}^{\text{Zzz...}} = (C_S/S, \mathcal{P}^{\circledast} = (\mathcal{P}_B, \nabla))$ denotes the ordinary dormant curve classified by $\breve{v}$ (hence $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\heartsuit}$ is an isomorphism), then it follows from Proposition 2.8.1 that this split injection corresponds to a split injection

$$\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \tag{167}$$

of the short exact sequence

$$0 \to f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}) \overset{\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\sharp}}{\to} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \overset{\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\flat}}{\to} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \to 0. \tag{168}$$

Moreover, if we identify $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S})$ with $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}))$ via the isomorphism $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\heartsuit}$, then it follows from Proposition 3.4.1 that the injection (167) coincides with $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}^{\circledast}}^{\natural} : \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathrm{Ker}(\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}})) \to \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$. Consider the resulting decomposition

$$\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \oplus f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}). \tag{169}$$

Because of the discussion in the previous subsection, the proof of Theorem 4.4.1 is reduced to the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5.1.
The $\mathcal{O}_S$-bilinear map on $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$ corresponding to ω_S^{PGL} is given, via the decomposition (169), by the pairing $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S}) \times f_{S*}(\Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}) \to \mathcal{O}_S$ arising from $\int_{C_S, \Omega_{C_S/S}^{\otimes 2}}$ (in the sense of (165)).

Proof. The subsheaf $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \subseteq \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)$ is isotropic with respect to $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}$: $\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}} \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G) \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ (cf. (105)). Hence, $\kappa_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}$ induces an $\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$-bilinear map $\overline{\kappa}_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast} : \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \times \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2 \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. One verifies from a straightforward calculation that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} & \xrightarrow{(\overline{\nabla}^\flat)^{-1} \times \overline{\nabla}^\sharp} & \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)/\mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^1 \times \mathrm{ad}(\mathcal{P}_G)^2 \\ & {\scriptstyle \langle -,- \rangle} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \overline{\kappa}_{\mathcal{P}^\circledast}} & \\ & \mathcal{O}_{C_S} & \end{array} \tag{170}$$

is commutative, where $\langle -,- \rangle$ denotes the natural paring $\mathcal{T}_{C_S/S} \times \Omega_{C_S/S} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. Therefore, the assertion follows from the definition of ω_S^{PGL} and, e.g., the explicit description of $\mathbb{R}^1 f_{S*}(\mathcal{K}^\bullet[\nabla_{\mathrm{ad}}])$ in terms of the Čech double complex. □

Consequently, we have proved Theorem 4.4.1 (i.e., Theorem A).

6. Application of Theorem A

As an application of Theorem 4.4.1, we construct certain additional structures on ${}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz...}}$.

6.1. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 2$, X a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over K, and ω a symplectic structure on X. ω corresponds to a nondegenerate pairing $\mathcal{T}_{X/K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_{X/K} \to \mathcal{O}_X$ on $\mathcal{T}_{X/K}$ and gives an identification $\mathcal{T}_{X/K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}_{X/K}^\vee = \Omega_{X/K}$. Under this identification, ω may be thought of as a nondegenerate pairing $\omega^{-1} : \Omega_{X/K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/K} \to \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus, we obtain a skew-symmetric K-bilinear map

$$\{-,-\}_\omega : \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X \tag{171}$$

defined by $\{f,g\}_\omega = \omega^{-1}(df, dg)$. One verifies from the closedness of ω that $\{-,-\}_\omega$ defines a Poisson bracket.

Definition 6.1.1.
A **restricted structure** on the pair (X, ω) is a map $(-)^{[p]} : \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$ such that the triple $(\mathcal{O}_X, \{-,-\}_\omega, (-)^{[p]})$ forms a sheaf of restricted Poisson algebras over K (cf. [6], Definition 1.8, for the definition of a restricted Poisson algebra).

Next, we recall the definition of a Frobenius-constant quantization (cf. [5], Definition 3.3; [6], Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.4). In Definition 6.1.2 below,

$X^{(1)}$ denotes the Frobenius twist of X over K (i.e., the base-change $X \times_{K, F_K^{\mathrm{abs}}} K$ of the K-stack X via the absolute Frobenius morphism F_K^{abs} of K), and $F : X \to X^{(1)}$ denotes the relative Frobeius morphism of X over K.

Definition 6.1.2.

(i) Consider a pair $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}, \tau)$ consisting of
- a Zariski sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}$ of flat $k[[\hbar]]$-algebras on X complete with respect to the $\hbar$-adic filtration;
- an isomorphism $\tau : \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}/\hbar \cdot \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of algebras.

We shall say that the pair $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}, \tau)$ is a **quantization** on the pair (X, ω) if the commutator in $\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}$ is equal, via τ, to $\hbar \cdot \{-,-\}_{\omega} \bmod \hbar^2 \cdot \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}$.

(ii) A **Frobenius-constant quantization** on (X, ω) is a collection of data

$$\mathfrak{O}_X^{\hbar} = (\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}, \tau, s) \tag{172}$$

consisting of a quantization $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}, \tau)$ of (X, ω) (where we shall denote by $\mathcal{Z}^{\hbar}$ the center of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}$) and a morphism $s : \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}} \to \mathcal{Z}^{\hbar}$ $(\subseteq \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar})$ of sheaves of algebras whose composite with the morphism $\mathcal{Z}^{\hbar} \to (\mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar}/\hbar \cdot \mathcal{O}_X^{\hbar} \xrightarrow{\tau}) \mathcal{O}_X$ coincides with the morphism $F^* : \mathcal{O}_{X^{(1)}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

See [6], the discussion at the end of § 1.2 or Theorem 1.23, for relationships between restricted structures on (X, ω) and Frobenius-constant quantizations on (X, ω).

Example 6.1.3.

Let S be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack over K. One may construct, in a natural manner, a restricted structure and a Frobenius-constant quantization on the cotangent bundle $T_S^{\vee}$ equipped with the symplectic structure ω_S^{can}, as follows.

(i) Let us define a map

$$(-)^{[p]} : \mathcal{O}_{T_S^{\vee}} \to \mathcal{O}_{T_S^{\vee}} \tag{173}$$

of sheaves on $T_S^{\vee}$ as follows: a local function f on $T_S^{\vee}$ lifted from S is sent to $f^{[p]} := f^p$. A fiber-wise linear function on $T_S^{\vee}$ is a vector field on S; for such a local function $\partial \in \mathcal{T}_{S/K}$, we define $\partial^{[p]}$ to be the p-th iterate of ∂. Then, by the discussion in (ii) below and [6], the discussion at the end of § 1.2, the map $(-)^{[p]}$ forms a restricted structure on $(T_S^{\vee}, \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})$.

(ii) Assume that S is affine. The *sheaf of asymptotic differential operators* $D^{\hbar}(S)$ on S (cf. [5], Example 3.1) is the $\hbar$-completion of the $K[\hbar]$-algebra generated by $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ and $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{T}_{S/K})$ subject to the following relations:
- $f_1 * f_2 = f_1 \cdot f_2$;
- $f_1 * \xi_1 = f_1 \cdot \xi_1$;
- $\xi_1 * \xi_2 - \xi_2 * \xi_2 = \hbar \cdot [\xi_1, \xi_2]$;

- $\xi_1 * f_1 - f_1 * \xi_1 = \hbar \cdot \xi_1(f_1)$,

for any f_1, $f_2 \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ and ξ_1, $\xi_2 \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{T}_{S/K})$, where $*$ denotes the multiplication in $D^\hbar(S)$. We have a natural isomorphism

$$\tau(S) : D^\hbar(S)/\hbar \cdot D^\hbar(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(T_S^\vee, \mathcal{O}_{T_S^\vee}). \tag{174}$$

Also, there exists (cf. [5], the proof of Proposition 3.5) a morphism

$$s(S) : \Gamma(T_S^{\vee(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{T_S^{\vee(1)}}) \to Z^\hbar(S) \ (\subseteq D^\hbar(S)) \tag{175}$$

(where $Z^\hbar(S)$ denotes the center of $D^\hbar(S)$) determined by the following condition. Let us identify $\Gamma(T_S^{\vee(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{T_S^{\vee(1)}})$ with $\Gamma(T_S^\vee, \mathcal{O}_{T_S^\vee})$ via $\mathrm{id}_X \times F_K^{\mathrm{abs}} : T_S^{\vee(1)} \to T_S^\vee$. Then, $s(S)(f) = f^p$ for each $f \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ and $s(S)(\partial) = \partial^p - \hbar^{p-1} \cdot \partial^{[p]}$ for each $\partial \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{T}_{S/K})$. By applying a natural noncommutative localization procedure called *Ore localization* (cf. [15], § 9, p.143, Definition), we obtain, from the triple $(D^\hbar(S), \tau(S), s(S))$, a Frobenius-constant quantization

$$(\mathcal{D}_S^\hbar, \tau, s) \tag{176}$$

on $(T_S^\vee, \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})$ (cf. [5], Proposition 3.5). For an arbitrary smooth Deligne-Mumford stack S (i.e., without the affineness assumption), the triples (176) for various affine open subschemes of S may be glued together to a Frobenius-constant quantization on $(T_S^\vee, \omega_S^{\mathrm{can}})$.

6.2. By applying the discussion in Example 6.1.3 to the case $S = {}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{M}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}$, we obtain a restricted structure as well as a Frobenius-constant quantization on $({}^{\circledcirc}T_{g,K}^{\vee\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}, \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{can}})$. Such additional structures may be evidently transported into $({}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}, \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}})$ via the isomorphism $\Psi_{g,K}$, that preserves the symplectic structure (by Theorem 4.4.1). Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.2.1.
There exist a canonical restricted structure and a Frobenius-constant quantization on $({}^{\circledcirc}\mathfrak{S}_{g,K}^{\mathrm{Zzz}\cdots}, \omega_{\circledcirc}^{\mathrm{PGL}})$.

References

[1] P. Arés-Gastesi, I. Biswas, On the symplectic form of the moduli space of projective structures. *J. Symplectic. Geom.* **6**, (2008), pp. 239-246.

[2] P. Arés-Gastesi, I. Biswas, On the symplectic structure over a moduli space of orbifold projective structures. *math.AG/1308.3353*, (2013) .

[3] K. Behrend, B. Noohi, Uniformization of Deligne-Mumford curves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **599** (2006), pp. 111-153.

[4] L. Bers, Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces. *Acta Math.* **130** (1973), pp. 89-126.
[5] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, Mckay equivalence for symplectic quotient singularities. *Proc. of the Steklova Inst. of Math.* **246**, (2004), pp. 13-33.
[6] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin. Fedosov quantization in positive characteristic. *Amer. Math. Soc.* **21**, (2008), pp. 409-438.
[7] R. Bezrukavnikov, I. Mirković, D. Rumynin. Localization of modules for a semi-simple Lie algebra in prime characteristic. *Ann. of Math.* **167**, (2008), pp. 945-991.
[8] I. Biswas, Schottky uniformization and the symplectic structure of the cotangent bundle of a Teichmüller space. *J. Geom. Phys.* **35**, (2000), pp. 57-62.
[9] I. Biswas, S. Subramanian Connections on principal bundles over curves in positive characteristics. *Pacific J. Math.* **208**, (2003), pp. 11-22.
[10] T. Chen, The associated map of the nonabelian Gauss-Manin connection. *Central European J. of Math.* **10** (2012), pp. 1-15.
[11] P. Deligne, *Equations Différentielles à Points Singuliers Réguliers*. Lecture Notes in Math. **163**, Springer-Verlag, (1970).
[12] C. J. Earle, Some intrinsic coordinates on Teichmüller space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), pp. 527-531.
[13] E. Frenkel, *Langlands Correspondence for Loop Groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **103**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2007).
[14] W. M. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental group of surfaces. *Adv. Math.* **54** (1984), pp. 200-225.
[15] K. R. Goodearl, R. B. Warfield, Jr, *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*. Second edition. London Math. Soc. Student Texts **61**, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2004).
[16] A. Grothendieck, On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties. *Publication Mathématique IHES* **29**, (1966), pp. 95-103.
[17] R. C. Gunning, Special coordinate covering of Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **170** (1967), pp. 67-86.
[18] R. Hartshorn, On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties. *Publication Mathématique IHES* **45**, (1971), pp. 1-99.
[19] J. Hubbard, The monodromy of projective structures. *Riemann surfaces and related topics. Ann. Math. Studies* **97**, (1981), pp. 257-275.
[20] L. Illusie, Frobenius and Hodge degeneration. *Introduction to Hodge theory. Translated from the 1996 French original by James Lewis and Peters. SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs,* **8**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI; Société Mathématique de France, Paris, (2002).
[21] N. M. Katz, Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **39** (1970), pp. 175-232.
[22] S. Kawai, The symplectic nature of the space of projective connections on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **305** (1996), pp. 161-182.
[23] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Baily, *Champs Algébriques*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik un ihrer Grenzgebiete **39**, Springer-Verlag, (2000).
[24] B. Loustau, The complex symplectic geometry of the deformation space of complex projective structures. *Geometry & Topology* **19** (2015), pp. 1737-1775.
[25] C. T. McMullen, The moduli space of Riemann surfaces is Kähler hyperbolic. *Ann. of Math.* **151** (2000), pp. 327-357.
[26] S. Mochizuki, A theory of ordinary p-adic curves. *Publ. RIMS* **32** (1996), pp. 957-1151.
[27] S. Mochizuki, *Foundations of p-adic Teichmüller theory*. American Mathematical Society, (1999).

[28] B. Osserman, The generalized Verschiebung map for curves of genus 2. *Math. Ann.* **336** (2006), pp. 963-986.
[29] B, Osserman, Mochizuki's crys-stable bundles: a lexicon and applications. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **43**, (2007), no. 1, 95-119.
[30] M. Schlichenmaier, *An introduction to Riemann surfaces, algebraic curves and moduli spaces.* Lecture Notes in Physics **322**, Springer-Verlag, (1989).
[31] Y. Wakabayashi, An explicit formula for the generic number of dormant indigenous bundles. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci* **50** (2014), pp. 383-409.
[32] Y. Wakabayashi, A theory of dormant opers on pointed stable curves —a proof of Joshi's conjecture—. *arXiv: math. AG/1411.1208v3*, (2014).